

# Platinum Asia Fund



**Joseph Lai** Portfolio Manager

## Disposition of Assets

REGION	DEC 2016	SEP 2016	DEC 2015
China (Listed Ex PRC)	26%	30%	31%
China (Listed PRC)	9%	9%	9%
Hong Kong	*1%	3%	4%
Taiwan	3%	2%	3%
India	17%	18%	18%
Korea	9%	9%	8%
Thailand	7%	6%	6%
Philippines	4%	5%	4%
Vietnam	3%	3%	3%
Singapore	*2%	<1%	2%
Malaysia	1%	1%	<1%
Cash	18%	14%	12%

\* The changes in the stated Hong Kong and Singapore exposures are largely attributable to the geographic reclassification of Jardine Matheson Holdings (2.4% of the Fund) from a Hong Kong company to a Singapore company.

Source: Platinum. Refer to note 3, page .

## Top 10 Holdings

STOCK	COUNTRY	INDUSTRY	WEIGHT
Ayala Corp	Philippines	Financials	3.3%
Kasikornbank PCL	Thailand	Financials	3.1%
Ping An Insurance Group	China	Financials	3.0%
Samsung Electronics	Korea	IT	2.7%
Baidu.com	China Ex PRC	IT	2.6%
Jiangsu Yanghe Brewery	China	Consumer Stap	2.5%
Anta Sports Products Ltd	China Ex PRC	Consumer Disc	2.5%
Jardine Matheson Holdings	Singapore	Industrials	2.4%
Tencent Holdings Ltd	China Ex PRC	IT	2.3%
ENN Energy Holdings	China Ex PRC	Utilities	2.1%

Source: Platinum. Refer to note 4, page .

## Performance

(compound pa, to 31 December 2016)

	QUARTER	1YR	3YRS	5YRS	SINCE INCEPTION
Platinum Asia Fund	-2%	0%	8%	14%	15%
MSCI AC Asia ex Jp Index	-1%	6%	7%	12%	10%

Source: Platinum and MSCI. Refer to note 1, page .


Asian markets were down -4% for the quarter in local currency. With some easing by the depreciation of the Australian dollar, the MSCI AC Asia Ex Japan Index returned -1% in AUD terms.

Most markets in Asia delivered lacklustre performance. The unexpected US election outcome and the prospect of faster US interest rate hikes rattled the Asian markets. In India, the government's bold efforts to curb corruption and counterfeit currency culminated in a dramatic exercise known locally as "demonetisation" which disrupted economic activities in the short-term and led to a sell-off in the stock market.

Markets that are particularly sensitive to US rates were the under-performers, with the Philippines down -11% and Indonesia down -5%. Elsewhere, the Indian market was down -6% and the Hong Kong market returned -9%. The Chinese

## Value of \$20,000 Invested Over Five Years

31 December 2011 to 31 December 2016



Source: Platinum and MSCI. Refer to note 2, page .

For further details of the Fund's invested positions, including country and industry breakdowns as well as currency exposures, updated monthly, please visit <https://www.platinum.com.au/fund-updates/#MonthlyUpdatesForThePlatinumTrustFunds>.

domestic A-share (+1%), Thailand (+1%) and Korean (+2%) markets were relatively flat.

The Fund's Korean and Chinese A-share companies were the better performers. In Korea, Samsung Electronics and Shinhan Financial were both up +13% while SK Hynix (memory chip maker) rose +11%. In China, Kweichow Moutai (liquor producer) was up +12% while Weichai Power (manufacturer of heavy duty trucks and engines) was up +8%.

## Changes to the Portfolio

The Fund's invested position was 82% as of quarter end, leaving room to further upgrade holdings when opportunities arise. On the currency side, the Fund is maintaining its hedge against the depreciating Chinese Renminbi.

During the quarter, we reduced our exposure to stocks that have reached our assessment of fair value while taking advantage of price weaknesses to add to companies with strong market positions and a healthy growth outlook.

The Fund initiated a position in **Shriram Transport Finance Company**, the leading finance provider for second hand truck purchases in India. This company has specialised expertise in this niche area and has decades of experience operating in a market where many capable financial institutions have found almost impossible to penetrate. Current valuation has more than factored in the temporary impact of the demonetisation-induced reduction in truck demand, while the company's long-term prospect looks very promising.

The Fund also added **Axis Bank**, a strong private bank in India. As noted in our previous reports, the Indian banking sector is still hindered by the stale public sector banks, and the more agile private banks are very well placed to take market share and grow profitably. By our assessment, the non-performing loan cycle is close to peaking. Trading at trough valuations, this company is attractively priced given its favourable growth trajectory ahead.

## Commentary

### India

On 9th November, the Indian government, in a bold attempt to fight counterfeit currency and, to a lesser extent, ill-gotten gains, announced without any forewarning the cancellation of the Rs500 and Rs1000 notes as legal tender (equivalent to A\$10 and A\$20). This so-called demonetisation exercise was an audacious move, as the notes being withdrawn represented

86% of the value of the currency in circulation. It was troublesome for those hoarders of cash, as swapping the notes would reveal their identity. For the real economy, the short-term impact on the still largely cash-dominated industries was also being felt, as ordinary people scrambled to swap their old notes for new ones and the system struggled to cope with the large volume of demand.

Anecdotal evidence coming out of the consumer sector is showing a rather dramatic slowdown, as seen in auto sales (down 7% month-on-month in November) and motorbike sales (typically a bellwether of the rural economy, down 15% month-on-month). Other indicators such as the Purchasing Managers' Index (PMI) and credit growth are also painting the picture of a sharp contraction.

Having said that, the peak in distress should have now passed, with over 80% of the Rs500 and Rs1000 notes banked and about a third of their total value dispensed in new denominations (via cash withdrawals from banks and ATMs) to provide liquidity to the economy. Despite the inconvenience, various surveys indicate that 80-90% of Indians support this move!

This is a short-term liquidity event, and once liquidity returns to the system, the extreme short-term loss of activity should quickly reverse, though the timing is difficult to determine. Given the declining trend in inflation, which has been exacerbated by the demonetisation, it is likely that the Reserve Bank of India will cut interest rates to mitigate the slowdown.

On the other hand, the move may potentially bring some major positives over the longer term. Through this exercise, the banking system has experienced a significant inflow of cash deposits. Assuming some of that remains within the banking system (i.e. not immediately swapped for cash in notes of other denominations), this should ultimately allow for the creation of more credit. Moreover, demonetisation is bringing a greater percentage of the population into the banking and taxation systems. As farmers, street peddlers and every other Indian deposit their about-to-be-obsolete cash with the banks, a significant portion of the fund inflow has been recycled by the banks into the government bond market.

The demonetisation has come at a significant time for India. The economy has passed through a prolonged downward cycle in capital spending and an accompanying credit cycle. A determined government is undertaking difficult reforms, such as legislating for a Goods and Services Tax (GST), introducing regulations to facilitate foreclosure on non-performing loans

(for example, the banks were able to recover some funds from forced asset sales by defaulting borrowers such as the notorious Vijay Mallya's Kingfisher Airlines, Essar, and Jaypee Group), and the ongoing rollout of programs using the national ID scheme to prevent fraud in various government subsidy programs.

Our Indian bank stocks have been relatively resilient since the announcement of the demonetisation. Clearly the banks are benefiting from the inflow of funds, and there is downward pressure on interest rates. The consumer stocks, to which we do not have a significant exposure, have suffered bigger falls (they are generally the market favourites and much more highly rated), though indeed seem to be heading for a fairly sharp recovery.

### China

We have witnessed a broad-based economic pickup in China in the second half of 2016. Further to the rise in power generation noted in our [September 2016 Quarterly Report](#), power consumption and output continued to surge, rising 7% year-on-year in November, albeit, admittedly, from a low base. Recovery in construction machinery sales also kept its momentum from the July-September quarter. November excavator sales grew 75% year-on-year, 14% month-on-month. Heavy duty truck and engine sales grew 97% year-on-year for the month of November and 30% for the first 11 months of 2016. Diesel engine sales also saw an impressive 48% jump year-on-year in November. Stock prices of industrial companies like Weichai Power benefited significantly.

The all-important property market has recovered and stabilised over the last 18 months, with good clearance rates of vacant apartments in the larger cities, and that has led to an improvement in construction activity. Indeed, the property market in some parts of the country has been so buoyant that at the beginning of October policies were re-introduced to rein it in across 22 first- and second-tier cities. In cities like Nanjing and Hefei, inventory levels have dropped to as low as a supply of three months. These new policies attempt to curb speculative demand by restricting the number of properties that residents and non-residents are allowed to own respectively and by increasing the down payment home buyers are required to pay in order to obtain a mortgage. A subsequent slowdown has been noticeable and further tightening measures appear unlikely.

Some of the government's fiscal stimulus spending has been flowing into infrastructure. The number of public-private-partnership projects, which are predominantly infrastructure projects, rose from 30 in 2014 to 516 in 2016, worth more than a trillion yuan (approximately A\$200 billion). Looking ahead, fiscal stimulation is expected to continue as 2017 will be an important transition year for China's senior leadership, and the country has capacity to do more given that its central government debt levels are relatively low and its fiscal deficit remains manageable.

The surprise for 2017 may be an improvement in profitability and investment by the private sector. A Producer Price Index (PPI) is an index that measures changes in prices received by domestic producers for their output. China's PPI has turned positive during the quarter, the first time since February 2012. This has come about due to improved demand, as noted above, and the success (though limited) achieved in excess capacity closure, which lent producers some **pricing power!** PPI matters because it has significant linkages to China's economic growth, industrial profits, and stock market performance. For instance, with construction equipment sales down 50-60% from two years ago, the recent pick-up in building activity has led to a need to restock at the very least, with some producers even reporting tightening capacity.

We are seeing renewed concerns over RMB depreciation. As the country's economy adjusts to a slower growth pace, the Chinese currency is naturally experiencing depreciative pressure. The Australian dollar, for instance, also depreciated significantly against the USD because its economic growth has stalled as a result of China's slowdown. In an effort to control the pace of the RMB decline, the Chinese government has called on its foreign reserves and incrementally stepped up capital outflow restrictions. These measures entail increased scrutiny on companies making outbound investments and foreign property purchases as well as a crackdown on mainland Chinese tourists using their credit cards to buy insurance policies with a savings plan in Hong Kong. Whilst we acknowledge that capital flight is a real risk, which we are watching closely, we believe the policy response to date should help slow the pace of outflow.

Moreover, the direct impact of currency depreciation on China is limited. Given that most of China's debt is domestic, a fall in the Chinese currency will not significantly impact Chinese firms' ability to service their debt. The negative impact of tighter capital outflow will be felt more acutely outside of China in sectors that have benefited from the capital outflow in the past.

---

The new US President-elect and the team he has assembled appear to have a more protectionist policy outlook than the previous administration. Increased trade friction is a real possibility, but a major trade war will also negatively impact US exporters and consumers, and that will get in the way of their desire to "make America great again". Protectionist measures by the US will increase the Chinese government's determination to rebalance the country steadfastly into a more consumption-led economy.

The longer term outlook for China rests on the effectiveness of its reform efforts, which will be front and centre for Chinese policymakers in 2017. There is more progress on financial liberalisation, with the long-awaited Shenzhen-Hong Kong Stock Connect having commenced operation in December, following the 2014 launch of the Shanghai-Hong Kong Stock Connect. This new program opens up access to the domestic Shenzhen Stock Exchange by foreign investors while at the same time offers reciprocal rights to qualified domestic investors to invest in certain stocks that are listed on Hong Kong Stock Exchange. As the Chinese A-share market currently only has less than 2% foreign participation, compared to Asia's regional average of 30%, we see this as a positive step towards introducing more institutional investors with longer investment horizons into the A-share market which is currently dominated by local retail investors.

Recent announcements coming out of China's Central Economic Work Conference highlighted an increased emphasis on state-owned enterprise (SOE) reform, with six central government-owned SOEs been selected to participate in a mixed ownership reform pilot program through the introduction of strategic shareholders from the private sector. Historically, these SOEs have tended to deliver sub-optimal returns as they have often had to contend with conflicting objectives from different regulators. With more decision-making power now in the hands of their board of directors, together with the introduction of more market-oriented objectives for management teams, we may see these SOEs begin to behave in a more economically rational manner.

---

## Korea

A political crisis is brewing in Korea. President Park was impeached in December following a series of scandals. One of her aides was reported to have been extorting money from the *chaebols* (Korea's powerful family-controlled conglomerates), claiming influence over the President. As a result, it is likely that the election will be brought forward from late 2017. An interesting investment implication is that the *chaebols*, anticipating reform pressure from a new government, may take it upon themselves to simplify their cumbersome corporate structures ahead of the election. Once reformed, it is expected that the interests of the controlling families will be more aligned with those of minority shareholders, leading to more shareholder-friendly policies, such as a higher dividend payout ratio and more frequent share buyback programs.

## Outlook

The markets have been volatile, as the paths of reform are often not straightforward despite the rewards that lie ahead.

Government and private sector activities together should provide stability to the Chinese economy. Coupled with further efforts to close excess capacity, some improvements in corporate profitability and investment spending can be expected in the coming year. The Indian story is panning out largely as anticipated, and the likelihood of further interest rate cuts has increased, although the demonetisation exercise has led to temporary weakness.

There is a huge amount of activity happening in Asia, and our view is that the reform measures being pursued by policymakers are generally encouraging. Notwithstanding short-term turbulences, companies in the region with sustainable, competitive positions will likely prove to be worthwhile investments over the long run. Given that the markets have adjusted, the starting valuation for some companies is looking quite attractive. The Fund will continue to deploy its capital when suitable opportunities arise.

## Notes

1. The investment returns are calculated using the relevant Fund's unit price and represent the combined income and capital return for the specified period. They are net of fees and costs (excluding the buy-sell spread and any investment performance fee payable), are pre-tax, and assume the reinvestment of distributions. The investment returns shown are historical and no warranty can be given for future performance. You should be aware that historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Due to the volatility in the underlying assets of the Funds and other risk factors associated with investing, investment returns can be negative (particularly in the short-term).

The inception dates for each Fund are as follows:

- Platinum International Fund: 30 April 1995
- Platinum Unhedged Fund: 28 January 2005
- Platinum Asia Fund: 4 March 2003
- Platinum European Fund: 30 June 1998
- Platinum Japan Fund: 30 June 1998
- Platinum International Brands Fund: 18 May 2000
- Platinum International Health Care Fund: 10 November 2003
- Platinum International Technology Fund: 18 May 2000

(NB: The gross MSCI Index was used prior to 31 December 1998 as the net MSCI Index did not exist.)

2. The investment returns depicted in this graph are cumulative on A\$20,000 invested in the relevant Fund over the specified five year period relative to the relevant benchmark index (in A\$) as per below (the "Index"):
  - Platinum International Fund – MSCI All Country World Net Index
  - Platinum Unhedged Fund – MSCI All Country World Net Index
  - Platinum Asia Fund – MSCI All Country Asia ex Japan Net Index
  - Platinum European Fund – MSCI All Country Europe Net Index
  - Platinum Japan Fund – MSCI Japan Net Index
  - Platinum International Brands Fund – MSCI All Country World Net Index
  - Platinum International Health Care Fund – MSCI All Country World Health Care Net Index
  - Platinum International Technology Fund – MSCI All Country World Information Technology Net Index

The investment returns are calculated using the relevant Fund's unit price. They are net of fees and costs (excluding the buy-sell spread and any investment performance fee payable), pre-tax and assume the reinvestment of distributions. It should be noted that Platinum does not invest by reference to the weightings of the Index. Underlying assets are chosen through Platinum's individual stock selection process and as a result holdings will vary considerably to the make-up of the Index. The Index is provided as a reference only.

3. Regional exposures (i.e. the positions listed other than "cash" and "shorts") represent any and all physical holdings, long derivatives (stock and index), and fixed income securities as a percentage of net asset value.
4. The table shows the relevant Fund's top ten long stock positions as a percentage of net asset value as at 31 December 2016. Long derivative exposures are included. However, short derivative exposures are not.

## Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by Platinum Investment Management Limited ABN 25 063 565 006 AFSL 221935 trading as Platinum Asset Management (Platinum®). Platinum is the responsible entity and issuer of units in the Platinum Trust® Funds (the "Funds"). This publication contains general information only and is not intended to provide any person with financial advice. It does not take into account any person's (or class of persons') investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs, and should not be used as the basis for making investment, financial or other decisions. This publication may contain forward-looking statements regarding our intent, belief or current expectations with respect to market conditions. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Platinum does not undertake any obligation to revise any such forward-looking statements to reflect events and circumstances after the date hereof.

You should read the entire Product Disclosure Statement for the Platinum Trust® Funds ("PDS") and consider your particular investment objectives, financial situation and needs prior to making any investment decision to invest (or divest) in a Fund. You should also obtain professional advice prior to making an investment decision. You can obtain a copy of the current PDS from Platinum's website, [www.platinum.com.au](http://www.platinum.com.au) or by phoning 1300 726 700 (within Australia), 02 9255 7500 or 0800 700 726 (within New Zealand), or by emailing to [invest@platinum.com.au](mailto:invest@platinum.com.au).

No company or director in the Platinum Group® guarantees the performance of any of the Funds, the repayment of capital, or the payment of income. To the extent permitted by law, no liability is accepted by any company in the Platinum Group or their directors for any loss or damage as a result of any reliance on this information. The Platinum Group means Platinum Asset Management Limited ABN 13 050 064 287 and all of its subsidiaries and associated entities (including Platinum).

Some numerical figures in this publication have been subject to rounding adjustments.

© Platinum Asset Management 2016. All Rights Reserved.

## MSCI Inc Disclaimer

Neither MSCI Inc nor any other party involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating the Index data (contained in this Quarterly Report) makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such data (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and all such parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of such data. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI Inc, any of its affiliates or any third party involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating the data have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages. No further distribution or dissemination of the Index data is permitted without express written consent of MSCI Inc.