

Platinum International Fund



Kerr Neilson Portfolio Manager



Andrew Clifford Portfolio Manager

Disposition of Assets

REGION	MAR 2015	DEC 2014
Asia	35%	33%
North America	23%	25%
Europe	22%	24%
Japan	11%	9%
Russia	1%	1%
Australia	1%	1%
Cash	7%	7%
Shorts	7%	6%

Source: Platinum. Refer to Note 3, page 6.

Performance

(compound pa, to 31 March 2015)


	QUARTER	1YR	3YRS	5YRS	SINCE INCEPTION
Platinum Int'l Fund	9%	22%	21%	10%	13%
MSCI AC World Index	10%	28%	23%	13%	7%

Source: Platinum and MSCI. Refer to Note 1, page 6.

Economies of the Western hemisphere, led by America, continued to expand with the weaker participants enjoying the benefits of a sagging Euro which infused greater competitiveness and vigour back into commercial life. The Euro and the price of crude oil fell by about 11% over the first quarter of 2015. As the quarter progressed, there was evidence that most market players had reached that exalted point of “knowing” the US dollar was a one-way-bet, with concomitant positions to reflect this apparent certainty. Markets, as ever, rejected this proposition when the US Federal Reserve chose to use language in its March statement that was interpreted as yet further deferment of a rate rise. However, as the Euro had fallen by 21% versus the US dollar over 12 months, it in no way disturbed the belief that Euro-based companies would experience wider margins and the spreading glow of greater activity.

Value of \$20,000 Invested Over Five Years

31 March 2010 to 31 March 2015



Source: Platinum and MSCI. Refer to Note 2, page 6.

Asia was treated to further evidence of the commitment to reform in the populous economies of China, India and Indonesia. Most encouraging was the redirection of speculative zeal from the now smouldering Chinese property market to the red hot equity market. This was amplified by the government's stimulatory efforts to increase the availability of credit and to lower its cost. As reforms are being rolled out sequentially across Asia, it is very clear that the new political brooms of recently contested elections are sweeping away some of the imperfections that have impeded competition and disrupted the price-setting function of markets.

The regions that are facing more stringent conditions are the former winners – the commodity rich economies – which are now finding that a long and forcefully protracted boom has dire consequences when the opportunity of windfall gains failed to be directed towards longer-term benefits for society. Russia, Brazil, South Africa and Australia find their terms of trade have reversed and are facing a less prosperous future. Their stock markets responded negatively with the exception of Australia which witnessed a long-awaited cut in official interest rates and a concurrently weak Australian dollar.

Overall, the MSCI All Country World Index (A\$) achieved a return of +9.6% for the quarter and +27.9% for the last 12 months. The Fund is trailing for the last 12 months on account of its net 86% invested level, but the commitment to

Asia carried the benefits noted above. Thus with a disposition that is completely different to that of most managers, the Platinum International Fund is being rewarded for following its investment approach of seeking neglect.

The accompanying tables give some idea of investors' **desire for certainty** on one end of the scale (consumer staples and discretionary) and the **effect of abundant cheap funding** on the other which is finding expression in yield companies and white-hot biotechs as displayed in the performance of health care. Some of these biotechs are little more than small product-less research establishments.

Currency

The portfolio remains heavily hedged back into the US dollar (71%, including 9% in Hong Kong dollars) and we shifted some of the residual 9% of Euros (8% as at 31 March) into Norwegian kroner (3%), with an eye to an improvement in the oil price later this year. There is virtually no exposure to the Australian dollar and very little to the Japanese yen.

Shorting

As noted last quarter, the lower oil price prompted us to reduce the shorts and these are now principally against the S&P index. This is now preferred to the Russell 2000 because of the former's greater exposure to foreign earnings, some 46%.

MSCI World Index Regional Performance (AUD)

REGION	QUARTER	1 YEAR
Developed Markets	10%	29%
Emerging Markets	10%	22%
United States	8%	36%
Europe	11%	14%
Germany	16%	18%
France	12%	11%
United Kingdom	6%	15%
Japan	18%	36%
Asia ex Japan	12%	34%
Korea	12%	15%
China	16%	50%
Hong Kong	14%	40%
India	13%	46%
Australia	10%	14%

Source: MSCI

MSCI World Index Sector Performance (AUD)

SECTOR	QUARTER	1 YEAR
Health Care	16%	47%
Consumer Discretionary	13%	35%
Consumer Staples	10%	31%
Information Technology	10%	41%
Industrials	9%	24%
Telecommunication Services	9%	24%
Materials	9%	13%
Financials	8%	26%
Energy	4%	1%
Utilities	2%	22%

Source: MSCI

Changes to the Portfolio

For all the effervescence in the indices there is a lack of depth in the ability to shift sizeable volumes in smaller companies. Several of our older holdings of small companies have risen well since the middle of last year and, in view of our concerns about liquidity, we have been using this time to sell into the strength and concentrate the portfolio in larger and more liquid companies. We have also adopted an about-face on some of the cyclical metal plays that we had bought just a few quarters ago, selling down **Alcoa**, **Hindalco**, **Norilsk Nickel** and **Outokumpu**. You may recall that these were predicated on an improved balance in the metal markets caused by export bans and capital rationing. As it transpired, there was only a short-lived rally in metal prices and metal shares, but it allowed us to realise a substantial gain. We also sold the balance of **Baker Hughes**, whose price has been supported by the takeover offer from Halliburton. The lesson one learns at the end of any great resources boom is the ability of miners to cut costs to meet the now much lower price of their commodity, but, of course, at the cost of margins for themselves and their suppliers.

The significant purchases over the last three months were **Qlik Technologies**, **Cheung Kong Holdings**, **Qingdao Haier** and **Gree Electric Appliances**. We also continued to buy the utilities in India which we envisage will benefit from reforms but which, for the time being, are ignored by investors for their apparent lack of immediate growth or “sex appeal”.

Qlik was for a time a much favoured company which lost support after a fantastic start when its sales growth faltered. It has subsequently launched a second product that is simpler to implement but which has advantages over the competition for its multi-device availability, scalability and common data sharing. The company’s speciality is to provide software that enables users to visualise sets of data, the so-called business intelligence tools that can allow line operatives to understand inter-linked relationships within vast amounts of data and to manipulate them at will.

Its principal competitors are the old tech heavyweights like SAP, Oracle and Microsoft as well as start-ups like Tableau. As elsewhere, it is the smaller companies that are the most virile and at present Tableau, though smaller, is growing faster than Qlik. However, business intelligence application is still a frontier market and there is space for more than one supplier. Some may argue that Tableau could become the product of choice and hence, through user familiarity, become the entrenched dominant player. It is however priced at 10x sales,

while Qlik seems to have more robust features and trades on half this valuation.

Cheung Kong is a classic fallen favourite. It is currently going through a significant asset reshuffle that will leave it with a strong position in several mobile infrastructure markets, a wonderfully successful retail chain, interests in infrastructure, principally in Britain, and a network of ports world-wide. Owned by Li Ka-shing, one of the most successful and wealthiest entrepreneurs of the age, the market seems to have lost belief on account of a long string of losses from his foray into telecoms. We can identify why this will now change which, together with his other manoeuvres, cannot lead us to the negative viewpoint apparently held by the market. We are buying this pool of valuable assets at less than book value!

Haier and **Gree** are respectively the leading suppliers of white goods and air conditioners to the Chinese market. As we see in India, local investors tend to be more taken by the excitement of super high growth stocks than hugely profitable, steady strong growers that operate in already concentrated industries. From their overwhelming domestic origins, both companies are beginning to make inroads into foreign markets and seemingly at no cost to their profitability. Along with the **white spirit purveyors**, we see these shares giving us very handsome returns with starting valuations of less than 14x earnings and, what’s more, no debt.

Commentary

As one re-reads earlier quarterly reports, it is conspicuous how the concerns that internally preoccupied us at the time were not fully developed on paper. Seldom was the urgency and magnitude of the issues fully transmitted. For example, in the run-up to the global financial crisis (GFC), we were constantly discussing, even obsessing about, the segregation of origination (of mortgages) from ownership and where this would lead. Yet when we look back at the comments we wrote, there is far less coverage than we remember.

Why do we mention this? We would like to emphasise two important points.

Firstly, the **world order is changing** and yet most investors are shackled to the familiar. In Australia, it is about franking credits attached to Australian-sourced dividends; for other investors, it is about growth in Europe and the USA. We have no problem with the recovery in Europe or the sixth consecutive year of expansion in the USA, but their growth is still pitiful compared to that which we may expect in Asia.

How is it that Developing Asia, with more than half of the world's population and 30% of the world's output¹, is given such scant representation in global portfolios? The MSCI All Country World Index has a weighting of 17% for all of Asia (and a mere 9% for Asia ex Japan) and it is rare for global portfolio managers to have a weighting above 15%. As an owner of the Platinum International Fund, please **recognise that HALF of the Fund's invested position is in Asia (including Japan)**. We do not believe that over the next 10 to 15 years Asia will be the origin of only a tiny minority of the world's interesting investment opportunities. It is possible, but **completely contrary to the experience of the last 10, 20 or 30 years!**

Many investors have concerns about Asian companies' corporate governance and book-keeping practices. We cannot refute these concerns. However, **detailed analysis**, which pays particular attention to the nature of the business of each company, its cash flows, the ownership structure and a comparison of management's words with their deeds, can reduce some of these risks. In addition, the effect of a portfolio of holdings spreads the specific risk of individual holdings.

Secondly, the most important question to ask is **what price we are paying** for these companies. The market is a weighing machine and commonly held doubts, legitimate or not, tend to be reflected in prices. The big investment opportunities, in our experience, are born of low expectations.

At the time we began to enthusiastically accumulate Chinese stocks in the second half of 2014, the Shanghai composite index had already fallen 65% from its peak of 6100 reached in October 2007 to a low of 2100. Expectations were very low because of concerns emanating from the country's slowing and changing economy and the effect the corruption blitz was having on deferring business decisions.

A more penetrating question is whether the economies of Asia, which we favour, will **continue to grow and experience a deepening of free markets**. We feel this is inevitable and attributable to the power of ubiquitous wireless connectivity and the Internet. Apart from the pro-development win by the Modi-led government in India and a **first budget that reinforced the move to cooperative federalism and outcome-based spending**, we can point to daily evidence of the removal of obstacles to business and the desire to attract

1 Developing Asia (Emerging and Developing Asia) GDP Share of World Total (PPP) Statistics for the Year 2014 (http://www.economywatch.com/economic-statistics/Developing-Asia/GDP_Share_of_World_Total_PPP/), data source: International Monetary Fund (IMF), retrieved on 7 April 2015.

capital. In China, the **reform train is slipstreaming following the anti-corruption vanguard**². We see no evidence of the leaders of these countries being any less concerned about their power base than leaders in the West. The difference lies in their understanding of the need for reform and their greater ability to push through the changes necessary for a deepening of their market economies. Yes, the issues they face are colossal, but so is their competitive advantage in terms of being able to leap-frog with technology and by having a massive cost advantage in labour. By way of example, over 700 million Indians are now electronically registered on the biometrics-based national identity system.

We therefore pose the question in a different way by asking, **"what are the prospects, adjusted for probability, and how do they compare with the price we are required to pay elsewhere?"**

China has no alternative than to urbanise. This, together with a huge expansion of infrastructure, including a vibrant e-commerce sector, is of itself a strong driver for growth. **The service sector** has become more potent and in the last decade accounted for **nearly half the GDP growth** in the region and at the margin created 2.3 times more jobs than manufacturing, according to Citi Research. They elaborate further by suggesting that **labour productivity in "market services"**³ in China is similar to that of manufacturing and hence the transformation to more of **a service economy needs not result in lower incomes**. The growth in the service sector is showing up in labour pricing power in China where wages continue to grow across-the-board several times faster than inflation. There are evident shortages in skilled middle management and factory workers are gladly moving into service jobs for better condition. Of course, the economy will grow at a slower pace than it has over the last 15 to 20 years. Careless lending against real assets, rather than cash flows, will damage bank balance sheets at the cost of lending to the private sector, but small businesses have long faced such discrimination, relying instead on family and friends. See the tabulation on the next page that highlights the flexibility that is afforded to both China and India as a consequence of their high savings rates.

2 These reforms relate principally to State-owned enterprises (SOEs) in areas such as freeing up commodity prices (water, oil and gas, electricity and cement), consolidation of excess capacity sectors (steel, cement, coal and shipbuilding), asset sales and injections for SOEs, management incentivisation through share ownership, and liberalising foreign ownership rules.

3 Market services are those with a specific price, as against social services which are provided by the government for no specific charge.

A Comparison of Debt Levels and Growth Expectations

		CHINA	INDIA	USA	EURO AREA	WORLD
GDP Growth % [^]	2015	6.8	6.3	3.6	1.2	3.5
	2016	6.3	6.5	3.7	1.4	3.7
Debt-Government*	% GDP	39	61	80	95	
Debt-Corporate [#]	% GDP	167	56	78	122	
Debt-Household [†]	% GDP	30	8	77	48	
Mkt Capitalisation [‡]	% GDP	46	60	143	86	
Corporate Profits	% GDP	2.7	3.1	8.0	4.3	
Equity Markets						
RoCE incl. Goodwill	%	10	13	14	10	
Return on Equity	%	14	12	12	7	
Price to Book Value		2.5	2.7	2.8	2.0	
Price to Earnings Expected 2015		15	21	19	17	

Source: International Monetary Fund (IMF), Bank for International Settlements (BIS) and Factset

[^] Note these growth expectations from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) look rather high for China and may be too high for the US given the adverse effect of a strong US dollar.

* Observe the low level of debt owed by the Chinese government and, to a lesser extent, India.

[#] However, the Chinese SOEs are clearly over-borrowed and indeed face a period of restructuring and probable asset shedding.

[†] Household debt in both India and China is conspicuously low in sharp contrast to the maxed-out experience of the West, reflecting nations with savings rates of 30% or more.

[‡] Market Capitalisation does not reveal much, but registrations for personal broking accounts in China are running hot at over 1 million per day, six times higher than last year!

But this forecast strays from our area of core competence. When we look at the consumer companies we have been buying in China:

- they tend to be debt-free,
- have all grown in the high-teens or more,
- are extremely profitable, and
- surprisingly, are already operating in relatively consolidated industries.

Compared to similar companies in India which trade on 30x to 40x earnings, or Western defensives in the mid-20s, these plays are veritable gifts on less than 15x 2015 earnings.

We cannot bring ourselves to buy these types of predictable earners in India on account of valuations, but instead are very comfortable accumulating the infrastructure plays and private banks. These are characterised by having serious

management, relatively ungeared balance sheets and an ability to step into the void that has been created by the over-leveraging of balance sheets that is common among the recent batch of new entrepreneurs. Further, they are the principal beneficiaries of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) government's ideology of deregulation and greater fiscal rectitude. Even without the passing of the goods and services tax (GST) legislation, which is slated for 2016 and will be a major test of Prime Minister Modi's skill and toughness, we expect interest rates in India to progressively drop over the coming months. This is to the benefit of bank spreads and should lead to higher valuations for utilities.

In Japan, promising things are happening: companies are reforming, margins are improving – thanks to the Yen, wages are lifting into real increases and banks have been increasing their loans by 5% per annum. We remain confident that the Japanese market is in a rising trend.

It is not as though we are uninterested in the **great Western companies that have the theoretical and applied know-how to provide the highways of the future**, be it Intel, Cisco, Oracle, eBay, Google, Ericsson or new concepts like data visualisation. These companies are seen by many as passé compared to so-called "growth tech", but to our way of thinking are perfectly sound businesses that are **being diagnosed with symptoms they do not have**, namely, being ex-growth. The closest we can get to owning the much favoured defensive growth plays are the pharmaceutical companies in Europe that account for 8% of the Fund's portfolio, but, in the main, the delight in the certain and perfect cannot be achieved at a price we consider worthwhile.

Yes, the market is efficient at determining the appropriate clearing price for risk, but at times of stress there is a tendency to exaggerate the *apparent* risk.

Outlook

We continue to be highly enthusiastic about the companies we are finding. As emphasised above, it is not where the majority are investing. Rates will be rising, led by the US Federal Reserve, and presumably the discussion will then pass to the shape of the trajectory. The ability to extinguish debt remains the great detractor and movement in exchange rates could exacerbate the effect of even a gradual tightening cycle. Our preference is to stay with those companies with some pricing power and in areas that will be able to achieve some growth. Capital flight is a potential cause of concern, but we do not envisage this being a serious problem in the markets we have emphasised.

Notes

1. The investment returns are calculated using the relevant Fund's unit price and represent the combined income and capital return for the specific period. They are net of fees and costs (excluding the buy-sell spread and any investment performance fee payable), are pre-tax, and assume the reinvestment of distributions. The investment returns shown are historical and no warranty can be given for future performance. You should be aware that historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Due to the volatility of underlying assets of the Funds and other risk factors associated with investing, investment returns can be negative (particularly in the short-term).

The inception dates for each Fund are as follows:

Platinum International Fund: 30 April 1995

Platinum Unhedged Fund: 28 January 2005

Platinum Asia Fund: 4 March 2003

Platinum European Fund: 30 June 1998

Platinum Japan Fund: 30 June 1998

Platinum International Brands Fund: 18 May 2000

Platinum International Health Care Fund: 10 November 2003

Platinum International Technology Fund: 18 May 2000

(NB: The gross MSCI Index was used prior to 31 December 1998 as the net MSCI Index did not exist.)

2. The investment returns depicted in this graph are cumulative on A\$20,000 invested in the relevant Fund over five years from 31 March 2010 to 31 March 2015 relative to its benchmark index (in A\$) as per below:

Platinum International Fund - MSCI All Country World Net Index

Platinum Unhedged Fund - MSCI All Country World Net Index

Platinum Asia Fund - MSCI All Country Asia ex Japan Net Index

Platinum European Fund - MSCI All Country Europe Net Index

Platinum Japan Fund - MSCI Japan Net Index

Platinum International Brands Fund - MSCI All Country World Net Index

Platinum International Health Care Fund - MSCI All Country World Health Care Net Index

Platinum International Technology Fund - MSCI All Country World Information Technology Net Index

The investment returns are calculated using the relevant Fund's unit price. They are net of fees and costs (excluding the buy-sell spread and any investment performance fee payable), pre-tax and assume the reinvestment of distributions. It should be noted that Platinum does not invest by reference to the weightings of the benchmark index. Underlying assets are chosen through Platinum's individual stock selection process and as a result holdings will vary considerably to the make-up of the Index. The Index is provided as a reference only.

3. Invested position represents the exposure of physical holdings and long stock derivatives.

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by Platinum Investment Management Limited ABN 25 063 565 006 AFSL 221935 trading as Platinum Asset Management (Platinum®). It contains general information only and is not intended to provide any person with financial advice or take into account any person's (or class of persons') investment objectives, financial situation or needs. Before making any investment decision you need to consider (with your financial adviser) whether the information is suitable in the circumstances.

Platinum is the responsible entity and issuer of units in the Platinum Trust Funds® (the Funds). You should consider the PDS and Supplementary PDS in deciding whether to acquire, or continue to hold, units in the Funds. You can obtain a copy from Platinum's website, www.platinum.com.au or by phoning 1300 726 700 (within Australia), 02 9255 7500 or 0800 700 726 (within New Zealand), or by emailing to invest@platinum.com.au.

No company in the Platinum Group® guarantees the performance of any of the Funds, the repayment of capital, or the payment of income. The Platinum Group means Platinum Asset Management Limited ABN 13 050 064 287 and all of its subsidiaries and associated entities (including Platinum).

© Platinum Asset Management 2015. All Rights Reserved.

MSCI Inc Disclaimer

Neither MSCI Inc nor any other party involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating the Index data (contained in this Quarterly Report) makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such data (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and all such parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of such data. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI Inc, any of its affiliates or any third party involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating the data have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages. No further distribution or dissemination of the Index data is permitted without express written consent of MSCI Inc.