

Platinum International Fund



Kerr Neilson Portfolio Manager

Disposition of Assets

REGION	SEP 2013	JUN 2013
North America	29%	32%
Europe	27%	26%
Asia	19%	14%
Japan	15%	17%
Australia	1%	1%
Africa	0%	1%
Cash	9%	9%
Shorts	15%	14%

Source: Platinum

Performance

(compound pa, to 30 September 2013)

	QUARTER	1 YR	3 YRS	5 YRS	SINCE INCEPTION
Platinum Int'l Fund	6%	40%	10%	9%	13%
MSCI AC World Index	6%	31%	12%	4%	5%


Source: Platinum and MSCI. Refer to Note 1, page 6.

The principal instruction one gathers from recapping the actions of the markets in the preceding 90 days is to note that many of the passing events proved inconsequential, yet at the time they seem significant. It would be a wonderful gift if one could better calibrate the significance of the daily clatter and it would undoubtedly lead to a more productive life.

This quarter we progressed through the Japanese election; a positive. Then there was the tightness in the Chinese interbank funding market that was resolved thanks to a more accommodative stance by the People's Bank of China (PBoC). Syria emerged as the next hurdle, and after much huffing, the Americans accepted the Russian brokered deal and matters

Value of \$20,000 Invested Over Five Years

30 September 2008 to 30 September 2013



Source: Platinum and MSCI. Refer to Note 2, page 6.

quietened down even though the suffering continues. By September the mood had lightened with continuing positive readings from growth indicators like the Purchasing Manager's Index (PMI) for all the leading economies, the US, the Euro zone, Japan and China (see first chart page 3). As the quarter came to a close there was the strange change of course by the Federal Reserve Board which declared that tapering of bond purchases would be deferred. This initially excited the market, but then caution crept in.

Underlying this montage are the following realities, or so we believe:

- **Cheap money** is allowing many damaged economies to gradually heal.
In particular, the passing of time and large interest rate spreads is helping banking systems to rebuild their equity and adjust their balance sheets. At the same time, reallocation of resources and cost adjustments in many countries with chronic current account deficits, like those in peripheral Europe, is establishing a base for future gains in activity.
- There is **surplus capacity** in many areas which **reduces the chance of a rise in the inflation rate**, meaning that interest rates can stay lower for longer than is common in an economic recovery.

MSCI World Index Regional Performance (AUD)

REGION	QUARTER	1 YEAR
France	13%	47%
Korea	12%	16%
Europe	11%	37%
Germany	10%	40%
China	10%	25%
United Kingdom	10%	30%
Australia	10%	25%
Hong Kong	7%	26%
Developed Markets	6%	34%
Japan	4%	46%
Emerging Markets	4%	12%
Asia ex Japan	4%	17%
United States	3%	33%
India	-7%	-3%

Source: MSCI

- For now, the **tampering with the price of credit** is encouraging a re-pricing of tangible assets like property and shares, with a relatively benign effect on nominal assets like bonds.
- After a protracted bull market in bonds, the historically low level of available yields is driving **investors to accept more exposure to the risk** of equities and to leverage in general.

In large, part of the strong performance from equities this year can be attributed to a significant re-rating of shares rather than to earnings surprises. In the first five months of the year there were some solid gains in the smaller emerging markets like Indonesia and the Philippines, while in the developed markets this "risk-on" trade has been evident in biotechs and mobile-related plays of the Internet.

The accompanying table reveals the surge in interest in continental Europe this quarter as fears subsided and the more positive view formed about the emerging markets. The latter had previously been pressured by growth concerns and the worries about their currencies as the US contemplated Quantitative Easing ("QE") tapering which was expected to draw money away from emerging markets and thereby raise interest rates.

MSCI World Index Sector Performance (AUD)

SECTOR	QUARTER	1 YEAR
Materials	9%	12%
Industrials	9%	40%
Consumer Discretionary	8%	49%
Information Technology	6%	24%
Energy	6%	18%
Telecommunication Services	5%	23%
Financials	5%	38%
Health Care	4%	40%
Utilities	2%	20%
Consumer Staples	1%	27%

Source: MSCI

By sector, there are also clear signs of greater interest in the more cyclical sectors of the markets at the expense of predictables.

Against a rise of the MSCI World Index of 5.6% for the quarter and 30.9% for the year, the Platinum International Fund has outperformed with returns of 6% and 40.2% respectively.

Changes to the Portfolio

As a general statement, we have continued to migrate the portfolio away from predictables towards cyclicals with the full disposal of Johnson & Johnson, PepsiCo, Vodafone and the partial sale of Microsoft, Sanofi (pharmaceuticals), Adidas and Bank of America. We also sold the remnants of holdings such as Shin-Etsu Chemical, Nintendo, Obic, CyberAgent and Reed Elsevier. (Apart from Nintendo, these were all very profitable investments.) Significant new positions were established in Internet plays eBay, Naver Corp and Zillow, and financials like Intesa Sanpaolo SpA, KB Financial, PICC Property and Casualty, and ICICI Bank.

eBay has come a long way since the Internet boom where it made its mark as an auction site. These days it considers itself as a facilitator in the converging world of on-line and off-line retailing and payment. It has considerably enhanced its IT capacity and through clever acquisitions and thoughtful actions, it has repositioned itself to be among the leaders in e-commerce.

This hardly fits into one's standard basket of neglect, but it seems that the market is perhaps underestimating the potential of the move to mobile commerce and the extraordinary potential of *PayPal*, if it is indeed successful in leveraging itself into the position of the most convenient and safest payment mechanism for e-commerce. As the CEO likes to remind the market, the convergence or blurring between on-line and off-line transactions increases the firm's addressable market 20 fold. Starting with an earnings yield of 5% and growing by 15% or more for the next several years, we find this a most fascinating option in the making.

Naver and **Zillow** are likewise stocks with unusual optionality derived from the acceleration of e-commerce (see commentary below). Based in Korea, Naver has been winning users to its "*LINE*" social media messaging service which is now growing fast outside of its Asian origins with over 250

million active users to date. Funded by a dominant position in search in native Korea, Naver has the cash flow and balance sheet to fund its ambitions and gradually monetise the social network.

Zillow is following the earlier format for success in e-commerce by becoming the portal of choice for those seeking to transact on residential real estate in the US. By aggressively marketing for eyeballs and by providing useful information about the local markets it has become the most visited real estate site in the US. There are issues about data ownership and quality, but the market potential is huge and the prospects for competitors other than Trulia, do not look bright.

The financials we have been acquiring are all about valuations and the receding risk of financial intermediation. Both **Intesa** and **KB Financial** are the leading banks in their respective countries of Italy and Korea and their markets are showing early glimmers of improvement. Trading at around 60% of book value, that has already been heavily provisioned, we like these companies for their running yields and recovery prospects.

Shorting

We have been relatively inactive with our principal shorts, but have traded around with some buying of short-term put options.

Currency

Our position is largely unchanged from last quarter. We own no Yen and little Australian dollar, with the portfolio long the US dollar to 52%, European currencies to 31% and Asian currencies to 15%.

Commentary

Rather like the disruptive technology of the railways in the 1800s, which spawned a succession of stock market booms, we believe the Internet could prove just as potent at exciting similar crowd behaviour. If you cast your mind back to the turn of this century, there was huge excitement about the power unleashed by browser technology and a resultant commercial war between Netscape and the dominant

software provider of the time, *Microsoft*, with its alternative of Internet Explorer. There was a concomitant land grab for domain names as well as a proliferation of portals (e.g. Yahoo!) and mass adoption of e-mail and instant messaging. The significance of domain names was soon diminished by the indexing of the World Wide Web, the science of which was enhanced by *Google* search. As bandwidth has expanded, the paradigms around software development and databases have constantly evolved to facilitate the explosion of web traffic. In addition, the way in which people use technology has changed with even the browser now taking on some of the functions of an operating system. A repeated pattern of techno morphing is evident.

The great distinction of this Internet age has been the emergence of **new business concepts** where the **commercialisation** of the product or application is often discovered en route with entrepreneurs taking a build-them-and-they-will-come approach. What has not changed, but intensified and accelerated, is the degree of **creative destruction**. *MySpace* was soon superseded by Facebook which itself was an Internet iteration of a former paper application at Harvard University.

Before you lose interest in this journey, think about the power that has now been placed in the hands of the consumer. The **smart phone** has all the computational power of a super computer of earlier years, but what is critical is that it is at one's fingertips virtually whatever one's location. Importantly, **each phone is uniquely identifiable on the Internet**, tantamount to a mobile INTERNET ADDRESS. (In the first year of its introduction, the iPhone sold 14 million of these Internet enabled devices. With the arrival of others, the price has dropped dramatically and it is estimated that at least 1.6 billion people will be thus connected at the end of this year.) It is the power of this device that **re-invigorated the creative zeal** of developers who are often in the unusual position of needing very little money to make their start.

Being always-on (constantly connected to the Internet) has completely **changed the commercial proposition** of the Internet. Apart from untethering one from the desktop, the reality of being able to **pin point the physical locational** of a user allows commerce to change from passive acceptance to **active pursuit**; one can now actively hunt-down business opportunities. An example of this is *PayPal's* new Beacon

which allows a retailer to recognise a PayPal member as they enter the store (or pass by) and to transact with a phone swipe or verbal acknowledgement with no credit card in sight. The incumbent card franchises have themselves been evolving near field communications technology to speed up this process, but in this fast moving commercial battle, the challenger has discovered additional attractions for the retailer that may change what was hitherto regarded as an unassailable position of the card issuers.

Similarly, out of Asia are coming **potential challengers to existing social networks** (Facebook and Twitter) in the form of mobile messaging apps. At the outset they were simply designed to arbitrage the lower cost of data rates versus the cost of phone messaging. By interrogating users' contacts list, these services very quickly became viral. They have also evolved into commercial platforms where users are induced to buy stickers, of an animated variety; speech and movement, to attach to messages. Further monetisation occurs from selling skill enhancements to mobile gamers, or Twitter-like hosting of firms surfing the user-engagement wave. Names like *LINE*, *Kakao Talk* and *WeChat* have been signing up users by the millions per month and in the case of LINE, winning large user bases as far afield as Brazil, Chile and Argentina - apart from being dominant in Japan, Taiwan, Thailand and Indonesia.

The problem for investors is to **discern fad and fantasy from long-term winners**. Just when Google looked to be all conquering, there has been the rise of **on-phone applications** and so-called **verticals** that allow regular users to circumvent search and instead to click directly on the app of their chosen site. Sites like *Tripadvisor* for travellers, *Expedia* for booking flights, *Zillow* as the most viewed real estate and rental site in the US, *OpenTable* for restaurant bookings are really old fashioned portals that have established dominant mind share with large aggregations of users. Like the classified pages of old, they are where one goes for that particular speciality. They have often expanded globally by acquisition or fresh starts to gain access to unprecedented large addressable markets. Once established, these businesses have remarkable scale and are generally extraordinarily profitable with concomitant free cash flows. The stakes are enormous which can induce highly promotional behaviour and careless use of funds at that time when the concept seems to have established a toe hold in this precipitous climb to dominance.

The most favoured **monetisation** approach has hitherto been the sale of **advertising** space alongside the offer. Quite recently investors were concerned about the loss of revenue because of the migration to small mobile screens from desktops. Revenues from cost per click (CPC) or cost per thousand impressions (CPM) are lower on mobile devices, but with the advent of tablets and the rise of users' enthusiasm for transacting on smart phones, this concern has dissipated; Google has even re-priced their offer on a blended basis. In addition, the ability to **identify a user's physical location** has given rise to new applications and therefore new revenue sources.

There is constant **experimentation with monetisation**. Partnerships have been formed between user-originated content providers like *Urbanspoon* and booking services like *OpenTable* to share revenues from traffic originated. Strong positions are held by *Apple* and *Google* who offer the payment service element for on-line transactions. These **app stores** currently take a 30% clip from each transaction, which of course is attracting fresh interest from vendors and payment services like *PayPal*. Group buying sites like *Groupon* take an even more aggressive cut, but seemingly manage this because of the intensive nature of signing up a myriad of small local merchants who are prepared to deeply discount their product to attract new customers or to balance their offering seasonally. **Subscription** is an alternative model and music streaming services like *Pandora* offers users the choice of a fee or interspersed adverts.

This revolution will be accompanied by great uncertainty, opportunity on a global scale and of course, significant risk, both commercial and substitutional. There are already several on-line areas that are witnessing a **convergence of offers** with participants each looking to imbed the attractive features developed by adjacent competitors in an attempt to create a lock on the customer. The web is highly disruptive by nature with **retailing** having felt the first cold blasts of **price transparency**. This may now be taken to a new level with the UK on-line grocer *Ocado* having mastered an industrial scale pick-and-deliver model which may threaten the price umbrellas that the consumer brands have for so long prospered under. **Delivery services** in general have huge implications for logistics and the changing use of property which is too complex to examine properly here.

It is still early days with e-commerce still accounting for only an estimated 7% of retail sales. Even in advertising there is still a large mismatch between time spent on-line and its share of the advertising pie. It has already taken its toll on traditional print media, but the rise of the likes of YouTube has still to be fully felt by traditional TV.

The **professions** remain the last bastion of the old way, but the great power on the Internet is already encroaching on the institutions of higher learning with experiments of algorithmic marking already being undertaken. Will lecture theatres change in function and become collaborative workplaces for students who have 'studied' at home?

We are spending a lot of time trying to track down the more interesting companies that will win in this highly competitive frenzy. Apart from the opportunities on offer, it also allows us to understand the vulnerabilities of the traditional players. **To mitigate risk**, we have a relatively large range of plays, with large exposures only to those entities which span several elements of the game. The Fund's exposure to the e-commerce element of this opportunity is around 11% (Google, eBay, Sina, Naver, Baidu, Youku Tudou, Zillow) while a further 11% is invested in the 'pipework' (Cisco, Ciena, Ericsson, Samsung, Intel and Microsoft).

Outlook

The markets have been unusually resilient to bad news. As noted earlier the risk-on trade has been evident in blue sky areas like e-commerce and biotech, and in recent weeks this hunger for open-ended opportunities has been met with a growing queue of placements and listings. It would be no surprise for the markets to have some retracement, but the general improving economic tone and still massive printing of money suggests that a retreat in prices should be used as a buying opportunity. At present we have no shortage of potential buys.

Notes

1. The investment returns are calculated using the Fund's unit price and represent the combined income and capital return for the specific period. They are net of fees and costs (excluding the buy-sell spread and any investment performance fee payable), are pre-tax, and assume the reinvestment of distributions. The investment returns shown are historical and no warranty can be given for future performance. You should be aware that historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Due to the volatility of underlying assets of the Funds and other risk factors associated with investing, investment returns can be negative (particularly in the short-term).

The inception dates for each Fund are as follows:

Platinum International Fund: 30 April 1995

Platinum Unhedged Fund: 31 January 2005

Platinum Asia Fund: 4 March 2003

Platinum European Fund: 30 June 1998

Platinum Japan Fund: 30 June 1998

Platinum International Brands Fund: 18 May 2000

Platinum International Health Care Fund: 10 November 2003

Platinum International Technology Fund: 18 May 2000

2. The investment returns depicted in this graph are cumulative on A\$20,000 invested in the relevant Fund over five years from 30 September 2008 to 30 September 2013 relative to their Index (in A\$) as per below:

Platinum International Fund - MSCI All Country World Net Index

Platinum Unhedged Fund - MSCI All Country World Net Index

Platinum Asia Fund - MSCI All Country Asia ex Japan Net Index

Platinum European Fund - MSCI All Country Europe Net Index

Platinum Japan Fund - MSCI Japan Net Index

Platinum International Brands Fund - MSCI All Country World Net Index

Platinum International Health Care Fund - MSCI All Country World Health Care Net Index

Platinum International Technology Fund - MSCI All Country World Information Technology Net Index

(nb. the gross MSCI Index was used prior to 31 December 1998 as the net MSCI Index did not exist).

The investment returns are calculated using the Fund's unit price. They are net of fees and costs (excluding the buy-sell spread and any investment performance fee payable), pre-tax and assume the reinvestment of distributions. It should be noted that Platinum does not invest by reference to the weightings of the Index. Underlying assets are chosen through Platinum's individual stock selection process and as a result holdings will vary considerably to the make-up of the Index. The Index is provided as a reference only.

3. Long invested position represents the exposure of physical holdings and long stock derivatives. The net invested position represents the exposure of physical holdings and both long and short derivatives.

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by Platinum Investment Management Limited ABN 25 063 565 006 AFSL 221935 trading as Platinum Asset Management (Platinum®). It contains general information only and is not intended to provide any person with financial advice or take into account any person's (or class of persons') investment objectives, financial situation or needs. Before making any investment decision you need to consider (with your financial adviser) whether the information is suitable in the circumstances.

Platinum is the responsible entity and issuer of units in the Platinum Trust Funds® (the Funds). You should consider the PDS and Supplementary PDS in deciding whether to acquire, or continue to hold, units in the Funds. You can obtain a copy from Platinum's website, www.platinum.com.au, or by phoning 1300 726 700 (within Australia), 02 9255 7500, or 0800 700 726 (within New Zealand), or by emailing to invest@platinum.com.au.

No company in the Platinum Group® guarantees the performance of any of the Funds, the repayment of capital, or the payment of income. The Platinum Group means Platinum Asset Management Limited ABN 13 050 064 287 and all of its subsidiaries and associated entities (including Platinum).

© Platinum Asset Management 2013. All Rights Reserved.

MSCI Inc Disclaimer

Neither MSCI Inc nor any other party involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating the Index data (contained in this Quarterly Report) makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such data (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and all such parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of such data. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI Inc, any of its affiliates or any third party involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating the data have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages. No further distribution or dissemination of the Index data is permitted without express written consent of MSCI Inc.