



PLATINUM
ASSET MANAGEMENT

investor
REVIEW
MAY 2003

MLC – Platinum Global Fund

QUARTERLY REPORT

Performance (as at 30 April 2003)

Fund Size: \$2.37bn	Last Quarter	Last 12 months	% pa Compound Return over 5 years	% pa Compound Return Since Inception of Platinum Global Fund
MLC-Platinum Global Fund	0.6%	-19.9%	9.8%	12.9%*
Morgan Stanley Capital International World Index (Accumulation)	0.1%	-26.3%	-3.1%	6.9%

* The inception date for the MLC-Platinum Global Fund was 23/06/1994

SOURCE: MLC INVESTMENTS LIMITED

All major markets suffered reverses over the past twelve months. Australia escaped with a fall of “only” 8% compared to a catastrophic collapse of 41% in Germany. The last quarter however, saw a rebound, due to a thundering recovery in April. Only Japan, Hong Kong and India were down for the quarter.

Looking at investment opportunities by way of global industry sectors; it was not possible to avoid the loss by fleeing to so-called “defensive” sectors. There was some variability in returns

MSCI World Index — Industry Breakdown (A\$)

Sector	3 months	1 year
Energy	-4.9%	-28.2%
Consumer Staples	-4.6%	-28.2%
Materials	-1.9%	-25.7%
Telecommunications	-1.7%	-19.4%
Health Care	-0.9%	-24.1%
Utilities	-0.2%	-27.6%
Consumer Discretionary	1.1%	-30.9%
Industrials	1.6%	-29.0%
Financials	1.7%	-27.3%
Information Technology	2.9%	-31.3%

SOURCE: MSCI

but the outstanding feature was the degree of uniformity. Over the 12 months, all sectors fell by between -24% to -31%, with only one exception (telecoms -19%).

In the face of such large and widespread declines it was inevitable that the Fund would be affected. For the year, the Fund declined -19.9% as against the benchmark, the Morgan Stanley World Index, which fell by -26.3%. Over the quarter, the Fund increased slightly by 0.6% compared to an increase of 0.1% for the MSCI, having profited more recently from some good individual stock selection.

Changes to Portfolio

Share prices were extremely volatile over the quarter, creating opportunities to either add to positions that had sold off fiercely or to trim holdings when prices rose on very short term considerations. For example, Assicurazioni Generali rose by a fifth, as a result of a fight for control, while Allianz sold off up to 40% on solvency concerns. Having satisfied ourselves as to the fundamentals of each, we reduced our position in Generali and progressively added to Allianz. As noted later in this report, we bought this share far too early in the cycle but with the price having been hammered by a

Platinum Global Fund Q

Disposition of Assets

Region	Apr 2003	Jan 2003
Western Europe	37.1%	35.4%
Japan	19.5%	20.4%
North America	12.3%	12.5%
Other (emerging markets eg. Korea)	10.9%	12.7%
Australia	1.4%	1.3%
Cash	18.8%	17.7%

SOURCE: MLC INVESTMENTS LIMITED

* The Fund has a short position against the Standard & Poors 500 Index of 2.9% at 30 April 2003 and 1.8% as at 31 January 2003

Breakdown by Industry

Categories	Examples of Stock	Apr 2003	Jan 2003
Cyclicals/Manufacturing	Schindler, Siemens, RMC, Bayer, Linde, Oc	21%	22%
Financials	Assicurazioni Generali, Allianz, Alleanza	10%	7%
Medical	Yamanouchi, Takeda, Draegerwerk, Novartis, Merck KGaA	9%	8%
Gold and Other	Barrick Gold, Newmont Mining, Gold Fields	9%	7%
Technology/Hardware	Agere Systems, National Semiconductor, Samsung, AMD	8%	11%
Telecoms	Hellenic Telecom, Ericsson, NTT	8%	9%
Retail/Services/Logistics	Hornbach, Fraport, Metro	8%	9%
Consumer Brands	Citizen Watch, Adidas Salomon, Lotte Confectionary	5%	5%
Software/Media	Sky Perfect Communications, Seoul Broadcasting	3%	4%

SOURCE: PLATINUM

confluence of concerns, most of which would be ameliorated by the rights issue, the stable response was to buy into this well of dependency. Potential buyers were holding back in anticipation of a stock overhang from the rights issue which tends to provide excellent buying opportunities.

Currency

The US\$ was weak for the quarter, the cost of a Euro rising from around \$1.07 to \$1.12. Similarly, the A\$ gained ground and rose from 59 cents to 63 cents versus the US\$. Our hedging strategy is working favourably and even

if the US\$ could find some vitality in the short term, we are reluctant to fine tune our position. We remain principally hedged into A\$ at 65% and own Euros and Swiss Francs.

Commentary

We have just celebrated the **third anniversary of the Nasdaq bear market** and arguably approximately the fourth year of the broader US indices decline.

In case there is need to emphasise the madness of the crowds, in mid-March of 2000, the Nasdaq index ostensibly represented 4% of US corporate earnings and 40% of total US listed

Quarterly Report (Continued)

Top Bankruptcies in the US in 2001/02 by Asset Size

Company	Bankruptcy Date	Peak Market Capitalisations (US\$ bn)
WorldCom	July 2002	163
Enron	December 2001	63
Global Crossing	January 2002	39
Pacific Gas & Electric	April 2001	16
NTL	May 2002	13
UAL	December 2002	12
Kmart	January 2002	11
Conseco	December 2002	11
Adelphia Communications	June 2002	7
Federal-Mogul	October 2001	4
Finova Group	March 2001	3
Reliance Group Holdings	June 2001	2

SOURCE: BANKRUPTCYDATA.CO, WORLDSCOPE, FACTSET

share values. Today this index represents some 25% of available US market capitalisation.

Other signs of the deflation of the bubble lie in the collapse in merger and acquisition (M&A) activity and the rapid decline in cross-border capital flows. World M&A activity amounted to US\$12.4 trillion between 1995 and 2002, the peak year being 2000 which accounted for 26% of the total.

Characterising the frenetic excitement was the amount devoted to pure "body businesses"; support services, media, and software. These in aggregate accounted for 34% of all activity. Aggressive investment bankers convinced clients of a supposed advantage in acquiring entire blocks of people rather than hiring the individual talents that typify these asset-poor enterprises. Only later has it become clear that the huge "goodwill" purchased is largely illusory and all that has been acquired is other people's problems.

Cross border capital flows are showing a similar pattern. Having peaked in 2000 at around


14% of world GDP they have evaporated. The diminished desire to invest in distant markets is reflected in the de-rating of emerging markets. From the frothy highs of 1994 when emerging markets had price earning ratios higher than the developed markets, they are now valued at just over half.

The extravagances of the bubble can start to be measured by the spectacular level of **corporate busts**. The magnitude of these is well worth recording and all the more when viewed alongside the peak market valuations of those companies (see accompanying table).

Fortunately we have avoided these disasters. This also, incidentally, serves to underline the benefit of following our seemingly perverse disdain for popular stocks.

In the place of ultra-large mergers and corporate hubris there are now spectacularly **large rights issues**. The scramble for liquidity is clear. Led by yesterday's heroes, money is being demanded from pension funds and insurance companies who are in any case reviewing the

PE Quintiles (S&P500 Index and Euro500 Index) (X)



SOURCE: WORLDSCOPE, FACTSET, IBES ESTIMATES

wisdom of their very large exposure to shares. We have managed to avoid most of these demands except in the case of the world's largest insurer Allianz which we unwisely bought too soon. Our cardinal error was to be influenced by the share's price collapse. This was foolish when set against the backdrop of general under-reserving by the insurance industry, the cavalier behaviour of the finance industry in general and, particularly our anticipation of the bear market and understanding of the effect of falling share prices on solvency ratios.

The big unresolved question now is where we are in this bear market. Investor psychology suggests that Europe and NE Asia are already well into the second phase of the negative appraisal of shares. The general talk is about **deflationary risk, balance sheet rebuilding and poor growth prospects.**

We have written before on the valuations found in Japan where share prices barely match the value of cash in companies' bank accounts,

without regard to the business value. In Europe, share values have declined to a level where dividend yields are similar to the interest yield on Government guaranteed bonds.

A good indication of the presence or absence of euphoria in markets is the variance of price/earnings ratios between high and low rated companies. As the accompanying table shows, the absurd excitements of the late 1990s have already given way to far more sober assessments.

Having said all this, shares in the US remain relatively highly valued. PEs are still above their long term average, balance sheets are often weak and no case can be made that the book keeping is superior. US consumers and businesses may show more responsiveness to cheap money than is likely elsewhere. There is also the prospect of the weak US dollar being of benefit in the translation of foreign earnings for US multi-national companies. But given the already very high level of debt and the low savings rate we are not convinced that monetary stimuli will lead to a rebound in growth and corporate profitability that fully justify current ratings.

Focus — Asia

In the last three months we have visited Asia twice. We strongly subscribe to the view that the Eastern hemisphere will be the **strongest area of world growth** over the next few years.

Agricultural land and commodity prices in Europe collapsed in the latter part of the 19th Century following the opening up of the American west and, to a lesser extent, Australia and Argentina. As we have noted previously, the reduction of trade barriers and the rapid transfer of technology and capital to emerging economies is having similar consequences for labour employment in the developed world now, while at the same time flooding it with goods at low prices. Russell Napier of brokers CLSA has written an excellent study comparing the emergence of China with that of the US in the latter part of the 1800s and Japan in the 1950s. The parallels he draws suggests that the opening of China will tend to depress labour and land costs in the developed world.

Simultaneously the improvement of Chinese living standards will tighten the supply of various raw materials and raise urban land values in China. Russell sees China as being at a similar level of per capita commodity consumption as the US in 1910, and Japan in the 1950s. He argues that in a fiat money system, the emergence of a relatively resource poor nation is likely to produce commodity price inflation.

We have no argument with Russell's view. Interestingly, the economic take-off of Japan in the 1950s coincided with very high levels of mining exploration and development. This is not the case today. Studies by the auto majors corroborate the view about commodity usage and show how once incomes per head exceed US\$2,500 to \$3,000, automobile consumption grows at an accelerating rate. Several of China's coastal provinces are now in this position and vehicle production is already past three million per annum. In general, Australia seems well placed on account of the prospects for its commodity exports and, most importantly, the relative weak prices of its imports ie. improving terms of trade. A big surprise may be an explosive rise of mainland Chinese travelling abroad – once the fears about SARS recede.

Yes, China is the favourite for the moment and we cannot find much that will get in the way of this juggernaut in the next few years. Our recent visit avoided the normal rounds of Beijing and Shanghai as we sought out multinationals, local officials and emerging entrepreneurs in other locations.

To distil our observations to a few sentences is difficult. The sheer size of China and its population makes the comparison with other emerging markets misleading. We are witnessing **the transformation of over one fifth of the world's population from a totalitarian, command regime to a much more mixed economy.** The desire and ability to leapfrog patterns established by other emerging economies is breathtaking. Increasingly the old brigade are tolerated rather than revered or even feared. Regional governments are vying amongst themselves to attract new foreign investment secure in the knowledge that this will bring them popularity and wealth. This competition is helping to expedite approvals and to reduce opacity.

For good ideas money always seems available, be it from the State banks, State Owned Enterprises, State and municipal governments, and more informal groupings. It is striking that long term funding is available at a floating rate of only 4.5% pa. **Competition is extremely fierce.** As soon as a new idea or product takes off, imitators pop up, showing little regard for trademarks or intellectual property.

It is seldom clear who is the true beneficial owner in many of the recent success stories. The share register does not always tell the full story as various front-men masquerade as owners. Interests seem to be shuffled among the participants in an endless choreography but invariably there are politicians at local or regional level who share in the action. For this and other reasons one sensed that we were visiting *chaebols* in the making.

We were often surprised at the relatively small labour forces we encountered. Far from relying on low labour costs alone, we found many examples of highly sophisticated industrial solutions that one would normally have expected in the West. Assembly line workers, making say TVs, cars, motorcycles or heavy earthmoving tractors, typically earn around Rmb 1,000 to 1,400 per month (US\$120 to \$170) - depending on whether housing is supplied. It is standard practice for a free canteen lunch to be given.

The **theft of intellectual property** is a major problem for foreign firms. Lucent is a stark example of this. Having initially come to China to supply telecommunications equipment, they soon encountered local imitators who both undercut prices and responded far faster in developing new applications. In order to compete they have had to go deeper into the lion's den and have established some of their leading R&D labs in three mainland cities. Far from being a market to be sold to, China is now an integral part of Lucent's global research and procurement network. The fact that China is fast developing into the biggest market for some products puts many foreign firms in a similar dilemma: how to participate in one of the few large and growing markets and yet not lose one's know how. Speed of response, low manufacturing costs and market flexibility all contribute to the leapfrogging process noted earlier.

The rule of thumb is that capital costs of setting up in China are about 50% of western costs!

For all the positive aspects of change taking place, one should not underestimate the immense problems the country faces. These include a growing income differential between the coastal areas and the interior, problems with education, health, water supply, influence-peddling and so on. Our assessment is that these are all the ingredients for major booms and busts as unbridled optimism takes its toll. The energy the present transformation is unleashing, following on from nearly two decades of strong growth will surely lead to periodic over-estimations of demand. Should over-production, possibly on a massive scale, lead to dumping overseas, the flow-on effects could be spectacular.

Will we be able to make money out of China? The answer is far from clear. However, our work so far has given us some keen insights into what to avoid and who may be well placed. Imports of sophisticated equipment are still clearly on the rise where local sources have not as yet mastered the technology. Commodities and fashion goods should also be fertile areas.

Conclusion

A combination of a weak investment cycle and over-stretched consumers in the Anglo Saxon countries is likely to suppress economic growth. Companies may continue to face pressure on prices even as costs keep rising. However, in Asia and Europe at least equity valuations look to have discounted this unpromising background.

Markets have recovered well from their climactic sell-off in March. The debate in coming days will revolve around the nature and extent of any improvement in growth. Money is certainly very cheap and this will help to lubricate spending. Even so, we cannot see a clear path ahead of unremitting sunshine. As always, there are and will be individual shares that offer interesting pickings.

Kerr Neilson
Managing Director
Platinum Asset Management

If you have any questions about your investment in the MLC — Platinum Global Fund, please contact MLC Customer Service on

131 831

from anywhere in Australia or

0800 442 550

from New Zealand

For a greater insight into our process, please visit our web site at www.platinum.com.au

This document has been published by MLC Investments Limited (ABN 30 002 641 661) based on information supplied by Platinum Asset Management and is current as at 30 April 2003. It has been published as an information service without assuming a duty of care. Accordingly, reliance should not be placed by anyone on this document as the basis for making any investment, financial or other decision. Information about the offer units in the MLC-Platinum Global Fund and MLC MasterKey Unit Trust are contained in the MLC-Platinum Global Fund profile statement and MLC MasterKey Unit Trust prospectus and profile statement. Persons wishing to acquire units must complete the application form from the current prospectus or profile statement. An investment in the MLC-Platinum Global Fund or MLC MasterKey Unit Trust does not represent a deposit with or a liability of MLC Investments Limited, National Australia Bank Limited or other member company of the National Group of companies and is subject to investment risk including possible delays in repayment and loss of income and capital invested. None of the National Australia Bank Limited, MLC Investments Limited, or any other member company in the National Group of companies or Platinum Asset Management, guarantee the repayment of capital, payment of income or the performance of the MLC-Platinum Global Fund or MLC MasterKey Unit Trust.