



**Platinum
Global Fund[®]
Quarterly Investment
Manager's Report**

30 September 2021

Investment Update

Platinum Global Fund



Clay Smolinski
Portfolio Manager

Performance

(compound p.a.* to 30 September 2021)

	QUARTER	1 YR	3 YRS	5 YRS	SINCE INCEPTION
Platinum Global Fund*	-0.3%	32.3%	9.3%	11.6%	10.6%
MSCI AC World Index^	2.8%	26.4%	12.6%	14.5%	13.4%

* Excluding quarterly returns.* Fund returns are after fees and costs, are before tax, and assume the reinvestment of distributions.


Inception date: 8 September 2014.

^ Index returns are those of the MSCI All Country World Net Index in AUD. Historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited for Fund returns; FactSet Research Systems for Index returns. See note 1, page 11.

Value of \$10,000 Invested Over Five Years

30 September 2016 to 30 September 2021



After fees and costs, before tax, and assuming reinvestment of distributions. Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited for Fund returns; FactSet Research Systems for Index returns. Historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. See notes 1 & 2, page 11.

In Brief:

- Over the quarter, we saw gains in our major holdings in Raiffeisen Bank (+19%), Mosaic (+12%), Lixil (+13%) and Glencore (+14%).
- Key detractors included TAL Education (-81%), Micron (-16%), Trip.com (-13%) and Showa Denko (-17%).
- We completely exited our holding in Indian truck manufacturer Ashok Leyland, and heavily trimmed our holding in US online bank and auto lender Ally Financial.
- The heavy sell-down in China gave us the opportunity to establish a new position in Tencent. We continued to tilt the portfolio towards companies with structural growth, adding to our positions in Merck KGaA, a leader in consumables for the production of biotech drugs, and European wealth funds management platform Allfunds. We also established a new position in unique Japanese homebuilder Open House, a beneficiary of the WFH trend.
- We are at an important juncture in markets. Signs of inflation are both stark and everywhere you look. This is a time where we think it is paramount to stick to the discipline that price matters and to be wary of the 'hot' areas of the market. With that, we continue to position the portfolio into companies where relative valuations are on your side and you're likely to be more insulated if interest rates move higher.

The Fund returned -0.3% for the quarter and 32.3% for the year.¹

Over the past six months the value of the Fund has effectively tracked sideways, rising close to 3%, whilst the broader market has pushed higher. There are two main factors behind this:

1. The first is, since late May, a number of our companies with cyclical exposure have seen their stock prices fall 5-15%, as the delta variant spread rapidly around the world and investors began questioning whether the economy will begin to weaken from here. These pullbacks have offset gains elsewhere in the portfolio.
2. The second is the market reaction to the regulatory wave in China, which resulted in a blanket market sell-down. Roughly 20% of the Fund is invested in China, and in aggregate those stocks fell 10%, representing a drag of 2% on performance. Whilst a 2% drag is not large in absolute terms, it meant that 20% of the portfolio did not participate in the rally seen elsewhere.

Breaking down the main contributors to performance for the quarter, on the positive side, we saw gains across our major holdings in **Raiffeisen Bank International** (+19%), **Mosaic** (+12%), **Lixil** (+13%) and **Glencore** (+14%).

Of these holdings, our position in US fertiliser company **Mosaic** was recently acquired in March and will be less familiar to readers. Mosaic is a one of the world's largest and lowest-cost producers of phosphate and potash fertilisers. The company has weathered a decade-long down cycle in fertiliser prices, however, there are now signs we are in an up cycle. China's grain stocks have been wiped out post the flooding of the Yangtze River basin and there is a need to rebuild China's pig herd (and feed them) after the swine flu cull in 2020. This has seen China's corn imports rise from 3 million to 10 million tonnes, pushing up corn and soy prices and increasing the number of acres planted and fertiliser application rates. China's recent move to ban fertiliser exports until mid-2022 will further tighten the market and Mosaic's share price has started to rise in response.

The detractors from performance followed a similar pattern to our contributors, with a group of holdings, such as **Micron Technology** (-16%), **Trip.com** (-13%) and **Showa Denko** (-17%), suffering mid-teen falls in their share prices. However, there is one standout, being our investment in **TAL Education** which fell 81%, costing the Fund 0.75% in performance.

Disposition of Assets

REGION	30 SEP 2021	30 JUN 2021
Asia	34%	30%
North America	21%	26%
Europe	20%	18%
Japan	15%	12%
Australia	3%	3%
Other	1%	1%
Cash	7%	10%

Numbers have been subject to rounding. See note 3, page 11.
Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.

Net Sector Exposures

SECTOR	30 SEP 2021	30 JUN 2021
Industrials	20%	21%
Financials	17%	20%
Materials	15%	18%
Information Technology	14%	13%
Health Care	8%	6%
Communication Services	7%	3%
Consumer Discretionary	7%	5%
Real Estate	4%	4%
Consumer Staples	1%	0%
Energy	1%	0%
TOTAL NET EXPOSURE	93%	90%

Numbers have been subject to rounding. See note 4, page 11.
Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.

¹ References to returns and performance contributions (excluding individual stock returns) in this Platinum Global Fund report are in AUD terms. Individual stock returns are quoted in local currency terms and sourced from FactSet Research Systems, unless otherwise specified.

TAL is a provider of educational tutoring services in China, a service used by millions of Chinese parents trying give their children the best chance of navigating China's notoriously competitive school system. We purchased our stake in TAL post the stock having already fallen 80%, in response to the uncertainty around the new regulatory controls the government was going to introduce around the industry.

While we fully expected considerable regulatory changes to the business, our assessment was TAL was one of the highest-quality operators in the sector, and given the foundational value of education to the country and the clear demand from parents, there would still be a place for quality independent providers in the system. As is now well known, this last assumption proved incorrect, with the government taking the surprise move to convert the tutoring industry to a not-for-profit enterprise.

The outcome from the TAL investment is very disappointing, however, the approach to the investment in TAL was not unlike investing in a promising biotech awaiting phase 3 data. There was risk of a worse outcome on the regulatory front, but there were also many scenarios that provided considerable upside, and the position was sized accordingly to ensure a manageable impact to the Fund, should the negative outcome occur.

Changes to the Portfolio

Similar to last quarter, we continued the pattern of rotating our holdings that have benefited from the economic recovery into companies that we believe will benefit from new areas of growth.

We completely exited our holding in Indian truck manufacturer **Ashok Leyland**, and heavily trimmed our holding in US online bank and auto lender **Ally Financial**, with both companies previously being top-10 positions and fantastic performers for the Fund. We also continued to trim our positions in **Bank of Ireland** and **Louisiana-Pacific**.

The heavy sell-down in China, gave us the opportunity to establish a new position in **Tencent** and we continued to tilt the portfolio towards companies with structural growth, adding to our positions in **Merck KGaA**, a leader in consumables for the production of biotech drugs, and European funds management distribution platform **Allfunds Group**.

In our June quarterly report,² we mentioned some of the areas of great change we were focusing on in order to find investment opportunities. One of those areas is the work from home (WFH) trend, that will have a lasting effect on our life choices. A new holding that is directly benefiting from the WFH trend is **Open House**.

Open House is a very unique Japanese homebuilder. The company is different in two ways:

1. The first is their **sales culture**. The company was founded by Masaaki Arai. Arai started out running a Century 21 real estate office, where he won the award for being the best-performing sales office in the country for 12 consecutive years. Arai took his sales philosophy and built a process-driven sales culture, based on high levels of training and meritocracy-based pay, to the point where Open House offers the highest-paying graduate jobs in Japan outside of the tech sector.
2. The second is their **housing product**. In an urban landscape dominated by apartments, Open House builds detached single-family homes in the major cities, giving Japanese families space at an affordable price. The genesis of this, was the change in zoning laws across Japan in the early 2000s, which allowed far more flexibility in build height and the ability to subdivide residential land. This allows Open House to buy small/irregular-sized land lots (roughly 140 square metres) that the other developers tend to shun and build two custom family homes that offer a parking space, home office and a roof garden, for the same price as an apartment.

Prior to WFH, this model produced fantastic financial success, with Open House consistently growing sales and profits at 30% p.a., making returns on equity in the high 20% range. WFH has accelerated this demand for Open House's style of homes even further.

This change in what is a desirable living space in the WFH era should be a multi-year trend and we were able to buy this impressive operator for a mere 10x earnings.

² https://www.platinum.com.au/PlatinumSite/media/Reports/pgfqtr_0621.pdf

Outlook

We are at an important juncture in markets, as the acceptance that the current inflationary environment will be a transient blip is now increasingly being questioned.

The economic picture on the ground has changed out of sight and the signs of inflation are both stark and everywhere you look. Most will be aware of the strong inflation in energy, goods and transport prices, but importantly, inflation is strong in categories that tend to be more structural, namely labour and rent/housing.

Nominal wage increases in the US are now running at over 5% p.a., and there are over 10 million open job positions vs. an unemployed population of 8 million. Labour shortages and wage hikes are a constant theme in discussions with the companies we follow. This activity is flowing through to housing and rental costs, with US national rents up 16% for the year to date, and 10% higher than the pre-COVID price trend. When we combine this with the trend towards more populist governments, there is mounting evidence we are not returning to the low inflation world of the past decade.³

Central banks around the world are now starting to take action. Rate increases are already occurring across emerging markets and the US Federal Reserve announced they are likely to reduce their bond purchases in November, which will effectively reduce the amount of interest rate manipulation that has kept rates low.

Making macro predictions is fraught with error, but here we feel the risk is asymmetric because the market is still largely pricing in a continuation of the low interest rate environment.

Hence, this is a time where we think it is paramount to stick to the discipline that price matters and to be wary of the 'hot' areas of the market. With that, we continue to position the portfolio into companies where relative valuations are on your side and you're likely to be more insulated if interest rates move higher.

Top 10 Holdings

COMPANY	COUNTRY	INDUSTRY	WEIGHT
Microchip Technology Inc	US	Info Technology	4.4%
Samsung Electronics Co	South Korea	Info Technology	4.2%
ZTO Express Cayman Inc	China	Industrials	3.7%
Raiffeisen Bank Intl	Austria	Financials	3.4%
Micron Technology Inc	US	Info Technology	3.3%
Glencore PLC	Australia	Materials	3.3%
UPM-Kymmene OYJ	Finland	Materials	3.1%
Tencent Holdings Ltd	China	Comm Services	3.0%
Minebea Co Ltd	Japan	Industrials	2.9%
China Overseas Land & Inv	China	Real Estate	2.8%

As at 30 September 2021. See note 5, page 11.

Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.

³ Source: Evercore ISI Research (wages), FactSet Research Systems (labour force), October Apartment List National Rent Report (rents).

Macro Overview

by Andrew Clifford, Co-Chief Investment Officer

We have taken a different approach to our Macro Overview this quarter, adopting a 'Q&A' format, with investment specialist Douglas Isles asking CEO and co-CIO Andrew Clifford the key questions on many of our investors' minds, covering China regulation, income inequality/redistribution, rising inflation and what it all means for global markets. An edited transcript of the conversation is below and the full interview is available on The Journal page on our website.

DI: It's been a very eventful quarter, particularly in China. With your 30+ years of experience investing there, can you provide some context?

AC: I think one of the issues that people struggle the most with in regards to China is the idea of government interference in the economy. There's been a lot of discussion, not just in the last three months but over the last several years, about China returning to a command economy. This is in stark contrast to the China that I know and have invested in. From my experience, China is one of the most market-based economies in the world, and indeed, that is the reason for its enormous success.

Over the last decade we have seen a period of constant regulation coming into what is just a very fierce market environment. Probably the most important of these was the reform of the shadow banking system. Entrepreneurs and banks were finding loopholes in the regulations that had been introduced to restrict the funding of activities such as property development. In response, the government implemented new rules to clamp down on that behaviour, and slowly all those assets and liabilities have been brought back onto bank balance sheets.

In recent times, there's been a lot of focus on the regulation of the tech sector, but most of it is not that different to what we're seeing in the rest of the world. Europe, for example, introduced restrictions on the use of private data by e-commerce companies. The Chinese regulators are incredibly sophisticated in their approach to regulation, they study best practice around the world. Where they feel that free markets have gone too far, they introduce rules, which for the most part are very much modelled on the European approach.

DI: It seems that every time there is a reform program in China, the rest of the world reacts badly to it, why do you think that's the case?

AC: It's important to remember that in China there is a different process to enact change. For us to implement new rules around the use of data or controlling the behaviour of large e-commerce or social media companies, it would be a drawn-out process and there would be significant pushback, like what we saw in Australia with media for example. Similarly, in the US, the Federal Trade Commission case against big tech companies is likely to be a protracted affair. However, in China, it's quite the opposite, the rules appear to change 'overnight'. While the process may be different, the political motivation is not that different from ours. These changes are being made because people are unhappy with the behaviour of big tech in China, just as they are elsewhere.

DI: Property developer Evergrande received considerable media attention over the quarter. The property market in China has been an area of scrutiny for many years, what are your thoughts?

AC: We don't own Evergrande in any of the Platinum portfolios. Its issues were widely known, and while it is naturally unsettling for investors, we don't believe it will be a systemic event. At Platinum, we talk a lot about the role of cognitive biases in investing and the need to go beyond our intuitive responses, or our System One thinking as Daniel Kahneman would put it, and move to System Two thinking, where we really try to understand the realities of the situation. There has long been this story about the great Chinese property bubble, but let me share some numbers. Over the last decade, in the six largest cities, residential property prices increased in the order of 8-10% p.a. In the tier two and tier three cities it was much lower at around 4-5% p.a. Now, 8-10% p.a. is a big appreciation over that

timeframe, but this needs to be seen in the context that nominal GDP growth in China is around 9% p.a. Additionally, you need to consider who's buying property in China, it's not the average household, it's the wealthy households and their incomes are growing even faster than that.¹

There is also a lot of focus on the number of apartments that are being built, and yes, since private ownership of property was allowed in 1999, about 200 million apartments have been built. But you have to remember that's the entirety of the modern housing stock in China, because everything else prior to these newbuilds was pretty much communist-era housing. So, given there are around 300 million urban households and 900 million people living in urban areas, we haven't even built enough modern housing stock yet.²

We hear a lot of talk about the 20% of apartments that are sitting empty, but in China, investment properties typically aren't rented out because the laws are quite harsh against landlords. Interestingly, in Australia, at any point in time, around 10% of our homes are unoccupied and I'm not talking about home rental vacancy, these are properties owned by people who own more than one home and leave them unoccupied.³


I would add that it's actually been difficult to get a property loan in China in recent years. A 30% deposit is required to buy a first property and 50% for a second. Mortgages have grown very quickly from being almost non-existent a decade ago, to be around 35% of GDP, which is well below what pure mortgage debt is in Australia or the US.

Property is a booming and important part of the Chinese economy, but if house prices get out of control, it becomes a political issue. It's a well-founded market, not a bubble by any standards that I can see.

DI: When the ultimate goal of the government is one of "common prosperity" is it fair to say that housing is front and centre for that?

AC: Absolutely. For the last decade there's been continued efforts to keep property prices down. The sentiment that "property should be an end-user asset not a speculative asset" is often attributed to President Xi Jinping, but this was around long before he was President. China has the same problem that the rest of the world has on that front. I think the real issue here for the world economy is that the latest regulations are trying to control not just the price that property is sold at, but also the price that developers pay to

Fig. 1: Pure Mortgage Debt as % of GDP, 2020



Source: PBOC (China); Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (USA); Business Insider Australia, OECD (Australia). As at Q4 2020.

acquire land to develop properties. It's a thoughtful approach to my mind, as it is essentially trying to regulate property development in a similar fashion to how utilities, such as electricity or gas businesses, have been regulated over the years. While there is a risk that this approach may not be successful in a market like property development, with developers already stepping back from buying land and property buyers now nervous, I think the concern of any great disaster is overstated. Past experience tells us that as soon as the Chinese authorities take their foot off the brakes, even in the slightest way, buyers come flooding back in, and if it gets to that stage, that's what I would expect here as well.

DI: Over the last decade there has been a clamp down on corruption, supply side reform, financial reform, and now "common prosperity". On balance, do you think they have done a reasonably good job for China over that period?

AC: When you look at the government's approach to introducing thoughtful, sensible regulation and rules in their economy, I think they've done an extraordinarily good job. As I said earlier, a lot of it is modelled on what the rest of the world does and I think there's nothing to be feared there. The recent event where they basically banned after-school tutoring due to cost concerns, is a bit more of an extreme measure, but again, they're very important social issues the government is reacting to, just like a democratically elected government would react to important popular issues.

DI: Let's now look at the rest of the world, particularly the other large economy, the US. Inequality is something that the Chinese and US governments are both trying to address, can you reflect on how it's being approached in the US and what the implications might be for investors?

AC: I think that income disparity is behind a great deal of discontent across much of the world. In the last decade or so, people keep referring to the world being in a low-growth environment, but that's actually not the case. The world economy grew pretty much the same rate in the decade from

¹ Source: CSLA; FactSet Research Systems.

² Source: CSLA; State Council of the People's Republic of China.

³ <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-04-14/house-prices-australia-climbing-not-for-the-reason-you-think/100065644>

2010-2020 as it did in the prior decade, but what has changed is the disparity of income, with lower-income groups clearly not doing as well as the top 20 or 40%.

Ultimately, everyone gets a vote and it then becomes an issue. I think one of the really interesting things the pandemic has shown politicians is that a lot of the payments, such as JobKeeper and JobSeeker in Australia, which have been introduced or increased during the pandemic, have clearly helped lower-income households far more than the average. And with that, I think politicians have seen the benefit of redistributing income toward lower-income groups. China faces the same issue. In fact, there are far more extremes between those who have benefited from China's prosperity than those who haven't. The call for "common prosperity" is thus one of redistributing income through the economy.

A number of years ago, we wrote about the huge benefit to economic growth of putting \$100 in the hands of lower-income households vs. high-income households through tax cuts. The latter group will most likely save it and buy another property or more shares. The lower-income households on the other hand, will most likely spend it on basic necessities. On that basis, I think it would be very good for global growth if we get some degree of income redistribution that is being discussed across the world.

DI: On the topic of economic growth, inflation is a hot topic right now for markets, what are your thoughts on that front and expectations for interest rates?

AC: As we've been talking about for some time, the creation of money through quantitative easing and funding government deficits this way is unquestionably inflationary. For a long time, inflation has mainly appeared in asset prices, the stock market, private equity infrastructure assets and house prices, which has been much more extreme in the past 18 months. But now we are seeing inflation in goods and services. There's always a lot of discussion of whether this bout of inflation is due to temporary shortages. As we mentioned in our June quarterly report, the market economy is good at dealing with temporary shortages. We have seen this in iron ore and lumber where there were huge price increases and then for one reason or another, supply adjusted and the prices retreated. But we're also seeing many 'sticky' prices. We can't get enough semiconductors to meet motor vehicle demand currently or a whole range of other projects that require semiconductors. The cost of shipping a container from Shanghai to Los Angeles is up six-fold or so. Gas prices are up four- or five-fold in Europe and thermal coal prices have pretty much doubled in recent months.⁴ So, there are

price increases coming through everywhere. Adding to the mix, is a shortage in labour at a time when the jobs market is as strong as we've ever seen, which is a bit odd given that we're still not fully out of the pandemic, but this is what all the numbers tell you. We are seeing companies raise prices at record rates. There is also anecdotal evidence, with UK gas bills, for example, doubling in the last couple of months. This is going to cause real pain in households, not to mention rising rents, so we have a real problem here and it's a question of how it unfolds.

While central banks are all saying they won't raise interest rates soon, we shouldn't pay too much heed to that, because their whole role is to set our expectations, and they will increase rates when they see fit. This poses a real dilemma though. People are going to start struggling to pay their bills following these price moves. How will governments respond? Will they spend even more money and announce yet another round of rescue packages, which are inflationary again? I think the end destination here, one way or the other, is interest rates are going up and there's a risk this happens earlier than many expect.

DI: How do you think this changing interest rate dynamic will play out in the markets? Will we see a reversal of fortunes in stocks?

AC: The beneficiaries of cheap money and inflation in asset prices have been the sectors that everyone is so excited about in the stock market, the so-called 'disruptors'. Consequently, there's been plentiful buyers of their shares and some crazy valuations of private companies that are raising capital at 20, 30 or 40 times their revenue. Yes, they are great companies and are growing fast, but many are losing money. The point is that they can only keep the game going while there are investors who are willing to fund them, and in many cases these investors are their own employees who are paid in stock. It's hard to go a day without hearing about a new start-up developing software to solve problems for companies or individuals. There's huge competition for the corporate IT budget or your personal budget to spend on all these things. That is the area, where the combination of valuations and the fact that they need money to keep going, that is a big risk for investors. It won't be a good place to be when the music stops.

On the other hand, there's a whole other part of the economy that people haven't wanted to fund, high-quality businesses at the centre of the future growth areas of the economy. Semiconductor companies like Microchip, for example, who makes microprocessor units used in electrical switches for a whole range of items, from microwaves to car windows. This is a very profitable business and it's growing because there's increasing demand for its products. Over

⁴ Source: <https://tradingeconomics.com/>; <https://www.cnbc.com/2021/10/05/gas-price-surges-to-a-record-high-in-europe-on-supply-concerns-.html>

time, as electronics usage increases, we will need more of their products. But here is a company that's unable to deliver enough product to meet the demand in the auto industry, due to under-investment. And this has been a theme across a range of sectors for the last decade. This includes commodities like copper, for example, a vital component for all manner of things, such as electric vehicles (EVs). The world's going to need an extraordinary amount of copper, but there hasn't been any significant investment in finding new reserves for seven or eight years.

So, to me, the other side of all this capital that has been invested in the new, exciting and innovative areas is that there's some really interesting, growing businesses that haven't been able to access capital, who now find themselves in a very nice position where their product is in demand and they're able to exercise good pricing power simply because of shortages.

DI: What do you think will ultimately make the 'music stop' so to speak?

AC: If you are looking for a catalyst, I think the most obvious is interest rates. While we are now seeing bond rates trending up again, we all struggle to ever be very precise in knowing when central banks will change official interest rates.

As an investor, I believe it's important to build a portfolio of stocks that are well positioned in terms of the markets they're in. I have given the example of Microchip, but in autos, we have BMW or Toyota, who are both very well positioned for the EV world. There are other themes too, such as travel, which is also a growth industry. Many travel stocks are very high-quality businesses, whether it's the online travel agents like Booking Holdings or Trip.com, which is the Chinese equivalent, or aerospace companies like General Electric, Safran and MTU Aero Engines, who are involved in the production of engines for aircraft - and again, based on the rate at which aircraft orders are coming in, we're potentially not going to have adequate capacity to produce enough engines. There's a whole array of opportunities out there and you need to buy each knowing what they can earn in a good period and assess against that.

MSCI Regional Index Net Returns to 30.9.2021 (USD)

REGION	QUARTER	1 YEAR
All Country World	-1.1%	27.4%
Developed Markets	0.0%	28.8%
Emerging Markets	-8.1%	18.2%
United States	0.3%	29.9%
Europe	-1.2%	28.0%
Germany	-4.3%	16.5%
France	-2.0%	34.3%
United Kingdom	-0.3%	31.2%
Italy	-1.1%	33.4%
Spain	-3.3%	31.4%
Russia	9.5%	59.4%
Japan	4.6%	22.1%
Asia ex-Japan	-9.3%	14.4%
China	-18.2%	-7.3%
Hong Kong	-9.4%	15.0%
Korea	-13.2%	27.8%
India	12.6%	53.1%
Australia	-3.0%	31.7%
Brazil	-20.2%	21.0%

Source: FactSet Research Systems.

Total returns over time period, with net official dividends in USD.

Historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

MSCI All Country World Sector Index Net Returns to 30.9.2021 (USD)

SECTOR	QUARTER	1 YEAR
Energy	2.8%	63.9%
Financials	1.9%	49.6%
Information Technology	0.5%	30.3%
Health Care	0.2%	18.3%
Utilities	-0.2%	10.1%
Real Estate	-1.8%	22.2%
Consumer Staples	-2.1%	10.2%
Industrials	-2.1%	27.3%
Communication Services	-2.6%	28.9%
Materials	-5.0%	26.8%
Consumer Discretionary	-5.2%	17.6%

Source: FactSet Research Systems.

Total returns over time period, with net official dividends in USD.

Historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

The Journal

Visit www.platinum.com.au/our-products/PGF to find a repository of information about Platinum Global Fund (PGF), including:

- Distribution history and statements
- ASX releases and financial statements
- Monthly updates on PGF's investment performance, portfolio positioning and top 10 holdings.

You can find a range of thought-provoking articles and videos on our website. For ad hoc commentary on the latest market trends and investment themes, look up **The Journal** under **Insights & Tools**.

If you find yourself short on time to read our in-depth reports and articles, have a listen to our **audio podcasts** or watch brief market updates in **video** format.

Recent highlights include:

- **Article - Biotech: Driving Another Decade of Change in Healthcare.**¹ Record funding and the entry of a 'new breed' of players, thanks to the wonders of AI and computer power, is driving considerable transformation in the biotech industry. Portfolio manager Dr Bianca Ogden explains how this is changing drug discovery and what it means for the existing players in the sector.
- **Article – Market Update: 22 September 2021.**² CEO and co-CIO Andrew Clifford provides his thoughts on Chinese property developer Evergrande and why the issue is unlikely to be systemic.
- **Video – Platinum Market Update.**³ Co-CIO and co-portfolio manager for Platinum's global strategies, Clay Smolinski and co-portfolio manager for our Asia ex-Japan strategies, Cameron Robertson provide a market update, covering the ongoing economic recovery and evidence of inflation, recent Chinese regulatory activity, and the pockets of wild excess evident in specific markets.
- **Article – The History of Money and its Role in the Modern World.**⁴ Investment specialist Julian McCormack delves into the origins of money over many centuries. It's a fascinating look at history and makes one realise just how the form and function of money has shifted over time. With inflation creeping up and extraordinarily large budget deficits that need to be funded at some point, we suspect that change is afoot.
- **Article - China: Time to Run or Time to be Bold?**⁵ Recent activity from Chinese regulators and the response from markets is testing the resolve of investors. With over 30 years' experience in covering and investing in the country, our assessment is that this presents another opportunity to upgrade portfolios by retaining a longer-term outlook – and we are actively looking to do so.



1 <https://www.platinum.com.au/Insights-Tools/The-Journal/Biotech-Driving-Another-Decade-of-Change-in-Health>

2 <https://www.platinum.com.au/Insights-Tools/The-Journal/Market-Update-22-September-2021>

3 <https://www.platinum.com.au/Insights-Tools/The-Journal/Webinar-%E2%80%93-Platinum-Market-Update>

4 <https://www.platinum.com.au/Insights-Tools/The-Journal/The-History-of-Money-and-its-Role>

5 <https://www.platinum.com.au/Insights-Tools/The-Journal/China-Time-to-Run-or-Time-to-be-Bold>

Notes

Unless otherwise specified, all references to "Platinum" in this report are references to Platinum Investment Management Limited (ABN 25 063 565 006, AFSL 221935).

Some numerical figures in this publication have been subject to rounding adjustments. References to individual stock or index performance are in local currency terms, unless otherwise specified.

1. Fund returns are calculated by Platinum using the net asset value unit price (i.e. excluding the buy/sell spread) and represent the combined income and capital returns over the specified period. Fund returns are net of fees and costs, pre-tax, and assume the reinvestment of distributions. The MSCI index returns are in AUD, are inclusive of net official dividends, but do not reflect fees or expenses. MSCI index returns are sourced from FactSet Research Systems. Platinum does not invest by reference to the weightings of the specified MSCI index. As a result, the Fund's holdings may vary considerably to the make-up of the specified MSCI index. MSCI index returns are provided as a reference only. The investment returns shown are historical and no warranty is given for future performance. Historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Due to the volatility in the Fund's underlying assets and other risk factors associated with investing, investment returns can be negative, particularly in the short term.
2. The investment returns depicted in the graph are cumulative on A\$10,000 invested in the Fund over the specified period relative to specified MSCI index.
3. The geographic disposition of assets (i.e. other than "cash") shows the Fund's exposures to the relevant countries/regions through its long securities positions and long securities/index derivative positions, as a percentage of its portfolio market value. With effect from 31 May 2020, country classifications for securities were updated to reflect Bloomberg's "country of risk" designations and the changes were backdated to prior periods. "Cash" in this table includes cash at bank, cash payables and receivables and cash exposures through derivative transactions.
4. The table shows the Fund's exposures to the relevant sectors through its long securities positions and long securities/index derivative positions, as a percentage of its portfolio market value. Index positions (whether through ETFs or derivatives) are only included under the relevant sector if they are sector specific, otherwise they are included under "Other".
5. The table shows the Fund's top ten positions as a percentage of its portfolio market value taking into account its long securities positions and long securities derivative positions.

Disclaimers

This publication has been prepared by Platinum Investment Management Limited ABN 25 063 565 006 AFSL 221935, trading as Platinum Asset Management (Platinum®). Platinum is the responsible entity and issuer of units in the Platinum Global Fund (the "Fund"). This publication contains general information only and is not intended to provide any person with financial advice. It does not take into account any person's (or class of persons') investment objectives, financial situation or needs, and should not be used as the basis for making investment, financial or other decisions. You should read the entire Platinum Global Fund Product Disclosure Statement (including any Supplement(s) thereto) for the Fund ("PDS") and consider your particular investment objectives, financial situation and needs before making any investment decision to invest in (or divest from) the Fund. You can obtain a copy of the current PDS from Platinum's website, www.platinum.com.au or by phoning 1300 726 700 (within Australia), 0800 700 726 (within New Zealand) or +61 2 9255 7500, or by emailing to invest@platinum.com.au. You should also obtain professional advice before making an investment decision.

Neither Platinum nor any company in the Platinum Group®, including any of their directors, officers or employees (collectively, "Platinum Persons"), guarantee the performance of the Fund, the repayment of capital, or the payment of income. The Platinum Group means Platinum Asset Management Limited ABN 13 050 064 287 and all of its subsidiaries and associated entities (including Platinum). To the extent permitted by law, no liability is accepted by any Platinum Person for any loss or damage as a result of any reliance on this information. This publication reflects Platinum's views and beliefs at the time of preparation, which are subject to change without notice. No representations or warranties are made by any Platinum Person as to their accuracy or reliability. This publication may contain forward-looking statements regarding Platinum's intent, beliefs or current expectations with respect to market conditions. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. No Platinum Person undertakes any obligation to revise any such forward-looking statements to reflect events and circumstances after the date hereof.

© Platinum Investment Management Limited 2021. All rights reserved.

MSCI Inc Disclaimer

The MSCI information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or disseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or a component of any financial instruments or products or indices. None of the MSCI information is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Historical data and analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast or prediction. The MSCI information is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. MSCI, each of its affiliates and each other person involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating any MSCI information (collectively, the "MSCI Parties") expressly disclaims all warranties (including, without limitation, any warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, timeliness, non-infringement, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose) with respect to this information. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall any MSCI Party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, lost profits) or any other damages. (www.msci.com)



Level 8, 7 Macquarie Place
Sydney NSW 2000

GPO Box 2724
Sydney NSW 2001

Telephone

1300 726 700 or +61 2 9255 7500
0800 700 726 (New Zealand only)

Facsimile

+61 2 9254 5590

Email

invest@platinum.com.au

Website

www.platinum.com.au/Our-Products/PGF