



**Platinum  
Global Fund<sup>®</sup>  
Quarterly Investment  
Manager's Report**

31 December 2016



**Platinum**<sup>®</sup>  
GLOBAL FUND

ARSN 600 630 537

# Platinum Global Fund



**Kerr Neilson** Portfolio Manager

## Performance

(compound pa, to 31 December 2016)

	QUARTER	6 MTHS	1 YEAR	SINCE INCEPTION
Platinum Global Fund	4.3%	11.0%	4.4%	9.2%
MSCI AC* World Net Index	6.9%	9.6%	8.4%	12.7%

\* Morgan Stanley Capital International All Country

Source: Platinum and MSCI Inc. Refer to note 1.

It has been a highly eventful quarter. Matters that received the most attention in the world press were the **unorthodox election campaign of Donald Trump** and his subsequent win, the tragic **loss of civilian lives in Syria**, and the protracted negotiations among **OPEC members** and their subsequent agreement, which was reinforced later by promises of **production cuts** by some non-OPEC countries. This is the first time the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) agreed to cut production since December 2008.

The other surprise was the decision of Prime Minister Modi of **India to suspend the convertibility of higher value Rupee notes**. The abruptness of the decision, driven by concerns about the black economy, counterfeiting and vote-buying in upcoming elections, has caused its fair share of disruption, but could carry the longer-term benefits of greater tax compliance, albeit perhaps at the cost of yet more delays in the implementation of the newly passed goods and services tax (GST) legislation. Lastly, concerns around **the Italian referendum and bank solvency turned out to be a damp squib** with Prime Minister Renzi resigning when the motion was defeated, and the stock market recovered!

Behind these headlines there is **clear evidence of improving economic activity almost across the globe**. This has somewhat diminished concerns around deflation, as has been reflected in bond yields finally starting to rise, with the US 10-Year Treasury yield moving from 1.6% at the beginning of the quarter to 2.5%. Similarly, German 10-Year Bunds moved from -0.10% to 0.3%, somewhat retarded by aggressive buying by the European Central Bank (ECB) as part of its ongoing quantitative easing (QE) program. Even in Japan, where the Bank of Japan's intervention has been very determined, bonds have weakened in price and yields have risen, indicating perhaps a return of price stability or even mild inflation.

## MSCI World Index Regional Performance (AUD)

REGION	QUARTER	1 YEAR
<b>Developed Markets</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>
<b>Emerging Markets</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>12%</b>
<b>United States</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>11%</b>
<b>Europe</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1%</b>
Germany	7%	3%
France	9%	5%
United Kingdom	5%	0%
<b>Japan</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>Asia ex Japan</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>6%</b>
China	-2%	1%
Hong Kong	-4%	3%
India	-3%	-1%
Korea	0%	9%
Australia	6%	12%

Source: MSCI Inc.

## MSCI World Index Sector Performance (AUD)

SECTOR	QUARTER	1 YEAR
Financials	19%	13%
Energy	14%	28%
Materials	9%	24%
Industrials	7%	12%
Consumer Discretionary	7%	3%
Information Technology	5%	13%
Telecommunication Services	3%	6%
Utilities	2%	6%
Health Care	0%	-6%
Consumer Staples	-1%	2%

Source: MSCI Inc.

On the back of higher yields and the expectations of potential tax reform that would benefit US domestic production over imports, the **US dollar is seen as a big beneficiary**. The US Dollar Index (DXY) has strengthened by 7% from the beginning of the quarter, or 6% since the presidential election took place in early November. Commodities have also been running strongly on the back of speculative price action, but this is now slowly subsiding.

In **China**, the work by the government to rebalance the economy continues. **Economic activity has been accelerating resolutely**, but there has been no let-up in the loss of foreign exchange reserves as the Chinese government intervenes to guide the glide of the Renminbi downwards against a basket of currencies that themselves have been weak against the US dollar. Expatriating funds has become increasingly difficult and restrictions even apply to foreign-owned companies wishing to remit their profits. (These restrictions have interesting implications for the Australian residential market as a large number of properties bought off-the-plan are now approaching settlement. High-cost bridging and deposit forfeiture are the order of the day.)

From the stock market point of view, there has been a dramatic shift in flows. After a protracted leakage of funds out of equities, the past quarter saw a significant reversal with some evidence of a growing preference for equities over bond funds. Along with Financials, Cyclical, for which investors had until recently had little appetite, bounced strongly ahead of earnings which investors hope will recover next year.

Strong contributors to the Fund's performance this quarter included the European banks (Mediobanca +34%, Raiffeisen Bank +28%, Intesa Sanpaolo +23%), our energy holdings (Inpex +29%, Eni +21%) as well as luxury goods group Kering (+19%) and Samsung Electronics (+13%). Weak performers included our Chinese stocks (Tencent -11%, Sina -18%, PICC -6%) and pharmaceutical group AstraZeneca (-11%).

## Geographical Disposition of Fund Assets

REGION	DEC 2016	SEP 2016
Asia	29%	31%
North America	20%	20%
Europe	22%	20%
Japan	12%	12%
Russia	1%	<1%
Australia	1%	1%
Cash	15%	16%

Source: Platinum. Refer to note 2.

The Fund's performance in the last six months shows that our stock selection is beginning to pay off. Do remember that the disposition of the Fund's assets is very different to that of the **MSCI AC World Index** which, as we have frequently alluded to, **is heavily weighted to the US market (54%) which also tilts it to being expensive by traditional measures**.

## Changes to the Portfolio

We used some strong price moves to raise our cash holdings as the quarter progressed. Notably, we sold the Palladium-miner **Stillwater** after its price spiked 30% on a take-over offer. We also trimmed our largest holding, **Samsung Electronics**, whose share price incidentally barely budged on the Galaxy 7 battery fiasco and has subsequently reached new highs on the basis of strong demand for OLED and memory devices, which was part of our well-documented investment thesis. In the US we exited **McDonald's** for a good return and reduced our positions in the online payment intermediary **PayPal**. All are good companies, but their share prices are now pricing in relatively optimistic earnings growth. In Asia, we took further profits on Internet play, **Sina**, and the Chinese white spirits purveyor **Kweichow Moutai**. Both have appreciated ahead of strong earnings expectations. We also reduced Japanese hydrocarbon producer **Inpex**, which has rallied strongly with the oil price.

Significant new entries were **FMC Technologies**, **K+S AG** and **Daimler AG**.

As part of our expectation of a higher oil price, we acquired two energy producers early in 2016, Inpex and Eni, and then broadened our search for those companies that would benefit from the eventual recovery in exploration and development expenditure. Prospects are hardly encouraging when the price of a commodity is weak, but with due reflection on other factors, one might identify the silver lining.

In the case of **FMC Technologies** there have been several important changes in the deep-sea hydrocarbons business that give rise to optimism. Technological advancements and plant integration procedures are changing which favour hydrocarbons to be processed on the sea floor instead of on rigs, and this is encouraging the tying-back of new wells to existing infrastructure, thereby obviating the need for production platforms. This will save capital outlays, which is significant for the private oil companies whose subsea reserves exceed those onshore. At the same time the number of equipment suppliers is diminishing following numerous mergers, and this helps restore some negotiating balance in a market where there are relatively few oil company buyers.

In the case of **FMC, it is merging with Technip** and will, together with the merged entity of Schlumberger/Cameron, dominate the subsea production systems (SPS) and subsea umbilicals, risers and flowlines (SURF) market with a market share exceeding 65%. Profits are still heading downwards, but are likely to bottom out in 2017 before recovering. The amplitude of the downturn will, however, be attenuated by the anticipated cost savings from the merged entity.

**K+S AG** is another cyclical company that has seen its share price collapse in the face of declining commodity prices and several one-off problems. K+S is the world's largest listed salt producer and Europe's largest potash supplier, with a granular-grade potash capacity of 7 million tonnes per annum (mtpa) across six German mines and 32 mtpa of salt capacity across regional subsidiaries. An eight-year decline in global potash prices, together with a six-month delay in commissioning its new potash mine in Saskatchewan, Canada – the first in 40 years – and curtailment of German potash production due to water disposal restrictions, has left the business challenged. A milder northern hemisphere winter has further weakened demand for de-icing salt with the concerns weighing on the company's share price.

However, a credit rating downgrade left the shares unaffected, supporting our assessment that the worst has passed. K+S's competitors' share prices have run up in anticipation of improving potash prices even though the mineral is still in surplus. However, it is not entirely a fungible market on account of transport costs and other considerations. Under our base forecast, which assumes a

potash spot price of US\$240/tonne, earnings can recover strongly, implying a P/E multiple of under 8 times, and this is before the benefits accrue from its new Canadian mine which is rated at 2 million tonnes a year. The North American producers, Potash Corp and Mosaic, are priced considerably higher on like mineral price forecasts.

### Currency

We increased our position in the Australian dollar on the view that the improvement of Australia's terms of trade is significant and likely to be more enduring. This implies a bottoming of our interest rate cycle. However, the nature of the Republican US tax proposals caused us to partially reverse our position late in the quarter as those moves may result in the Australian dollar remaining out of favour and discourage natural foreign inflows. We remain hedged out of the Japanese yen and the Chinese yuan, and are long the Norwegian kroner which we bought at mid-year in anticipation of a stronger oil price.

CURRENCY	DEC 2016	SEP 2016
US dollar (USD)	36%	32%
Australian dollar (AUD)	20%	20%
Euro (EUR)	14%	18%
Hong Kong dollar (HKD)	10%	12%
Norwegian krone (NOK)	8%	9%
Korean won (KRW)	5%	6%
Indian rupee (INR)	5%	4%
Japanese yen (JPY)	2%	<1%
Chinese yuan offshore (CNH)	-8%	-8%

Source: Platinum. Refer to note 4.

## Top 10 Holdings

STOCK	COUNTRY	INDUSTRY	WEIGHT
Samsung Electronics	Korea	IT	3.7%
Alphabet Inc	USA	IT	3.2%
Tencent Holdings	China Ex PRC	IT	2.5%
Eni SpA	Italy	Energy	2.3%
Inpex Corporation	Japan	Energy	2.2%
Sanofi SA	France	Health Care	2.1%
Intesa Sanpaolo SpA	Italy	Financials	2.1%
Ping An Insurance	China	Financials	2.0%
Kering	France	Consumer Disc	1.9%
Level 3 Communications	USA	Telecom	1.8%

Source: Platinum. Refer to note 3.

## Commentary

It is a tantalising idea that thunderous news coverage about *the economy* actually has *predictive value* or that the growth of an economy directly determines the prospect for a country's stock market. We are of the view that these apparent linkages are mostly random and a distraction but, in a world of loose anchorages, most assume they are better than nothing.

In case you feel this is being rather esoteric, consider the fact that operating profits of companies in China have doubled since 2007/08 and yet the stock market has declined by 40%. Its rating has deteriorated from 40 times to 20 times, yet the economy has grown at more than twice the rate of the best

performing economy in the Western hemisphere. By contrast, annual operating profits in North America, excluding Financials, have risen by some US\$300 billion since the last peak in 2007 to US\$1.6 trillion (+23%), and yet the S&P index now stands at over 2200 versus 1500 in November 2007, a rise of 46%. This outperformance of the market relative to profits has been caused by a re-rating of earnings, from about the long-term average (16 times GAAP<sup>1</sup> earnings) to a solid premium of 20 times GAAP earnings.

Consider further the negative press coverage of the Japanese economy and the endless coverage of its shrinking population. Yet, profits are at an all-time high – nearly 10% of Japan's GDP and, in stark contrast to the US, its market rating is close to the lows of the last 30 years! In a similar vein, operating profits in Europe are currently at the same level as those reached in 2007 (US\$1.3 trillion), yet the Stoxx index is 30% below the peak of 4500 reached in March 2007. Yes, agreed, too many numbers to ingest. But the message is clear: **the relationship between economies, profits and stock markets can diverge immensely, and yet many regard them as synonymous.**

So what? We are pretty clear that evidence of an improvement in the world economy started to appear at the end of the first quarter of 2016, with a rise in sentiment indicators, a recovery in Asian exports and, by mid-year, broad geographical improvements in Purchasing Managers' Indices (PMIs). Even though central banks in Europe and Japan have continued to suppress interest rates by buying debt and even equity instruments, the **underlying indicators for demand** and, importantly, producer prices, **have been rising for about half a year.** Most important of all, in our view, is the **bottoming of interest rates globally from mid-year to end a 36-year bull market in bonds**, the starting point of which traces back to the measures taken by the then US Federal Reserve Chair, Paul Volcker, in 1980 to break the back of persistent inflation!

Much is made of the turn of the US economic tide with the election of Donald Trump as the next President of the United States of America. There has been some excitement about the prospect of refined regulation and greater investment in infrastructure, but we believe **the more telling change will come from improved sentiment and tax reform.** There is a growing realisation of its magnitude as we write pre-New Year, but in all likelihood, it will be **the central focus of markets in the months ahead.** The proposals, which are based on a manifesto by Paul Ryan, the Republican Speaker of

the House of Representatives, are vague and contestable. However, if the newly elected legislature does manage to turn the tentative proposals into concrete, implementable policies, there would be a complete overhaul of the current system:

- **Companies will be taxed on their destination-based cash flow** where the 'border adjust' concept disallows the imported content, both goods and services, as a cost while excluding cash flows from exports, of both goods and services, as taxable revenue.
- **It leans heavily on the theoretical construct that the US dollar should appreciate** strongly, which will cut the cost of imports, as expressed in US dollars, while implying that exporters will adjust their selling prices downwards to reflect their tax free revenue treatment.
- **There will be a standard tax rate applied at perhaps 20%, from the current effective rate of around 27%,** with very few special deductions, and instead of depreciation, capex will be deductible against cash flow as incurred.
- **Interest costs** will not be deductible from taxable income.
- **The anomaly of taxation on global income will be solved by the consequential changes in company recognition of income** – foreign sourced being tax exempt. (This addresses the multi-nationals' past behaviour of shifting profits and is seen as one of the benefits of these reforms rather than supposedly promoting fairer trade! A transition proposal is to have an 8.75% tax on one-off remittances on income currently stored abroad.)

One's thoughts immediately turn to the prospect of such a gigantic step ever being implemented. And what will be the response from trading partners and business interests?

The case being made in the manifesto is that the US has effectively imposed a penalty on itself whereby its foreign trading partners levy value-added taxes (VAT) on exports received from the US and equally, the US effectively grants a subsidy on imports received from such VAT-driven countries.

In the face of **likely obstruction from the World Trade Organisation (WTO)**, which allows the imposition of indirect taxes at borders like VAT, but not direct taxes like company tax, which is levied after deduction of domestic labour costs, the US may attempt to argue that the cash flow concept creates a base equivalent to that of VAT. This would be highly contentious.

1 Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

There is also likely to be noise from the business lobbies that are highly import-dependent regarding the passing-on of non-deductible import costs.

From a US legislative view point, the hurdle lies in the assumptions adopted by the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT) which is now required to incorporate GDP impact when assessing the effects of the proposed changes in a tax bill. So long as the verdict is that the proposals are **tax neutral**, the legislation cannot be obstructed by filibusters in the Senate.

However, this system has other imperfections, such as the effect on imports by individuals, and non-tax-paying entities may require rebates for their exports. There is also the all-important and well-represented case for financial entities where destination-based cash flows are questionable.

Taxes on individuals are slated to drop, but closely interwoven in this is the removal of almost all special deductions.

As one can see, there are plenty of obstacles to these reforms, but the important point is that **they bring uncertainty to markets** and, in the months ahead, one can expect a strong-willed business-orientated Cabinet team to play hard-ball. Of course, the notion of interest costs being non-deductible carries the other trap of **raising the theoretical cost of capital to US enterprises**, with the likely effect of reducing the attraction of share buy-backs.

## Outlook

Some key points of likely issues in the year ahead:

- We might expect significant coverage and speculation around the **proposed changes to US company and personal tax regimes**. This will be complicated by both legislative procedures and matters of international trade.
- The **implications for the US dollar** are far-reaching with the Eurozone, China and Japan already receiving measurable benefits (growing trade surpluses) since the bottoming of the trade-weighted Dollar at 80 in mid-2014 (currently 103).
- The challenge for the US to lift growth in the face of relatively high employment and a strong Dollar suggests the need for **an investment surge** to augment productivity, rather than the less probable contributors of migration or an extended working age.

- The likely unfolding of **tensions between the US and some of its principal trading partners**, notably China, and the consequential **tit-for-tat** requires a close watch. This will reveal winners and losers in stock markets, though risk premiums seem lopsided.
- **China's credit boom**, which has **accelerated production and retail sales**, will continue to be closely watched and this will have important implications for real and perceived **demand for metals and minerals**.
- The global picture of continuing improvement in sentiment and rising producer prices will likely **remove references to deflation** with the consequence of bond yields being more attractive than they have been in 2016.
- The tensions between **political issues** (such as Brexit negotiations and elections on the European Continent), **company earnings and valuations** suggest selective opportunities rather than a uni-directional market.
- **Emerging technologies continue apace**, led by Artificial Intelligence, autonomous driving, improved battery storage capacity, robotic surgery, biotechnology, comprehensive IT security, the speed promise of 5G, and the list goes on as the full potential of computing power, sometimes hosted remotely and linked to sensors, unlocks the extraordinary potential of the Internet. The point here being that for all the wringing of hands about the dearth of conventional investment and weak productivity statistics, the **technological revolution is as potent as ever and probably under-measured**.

We are finding **considerable differences in valuations across markets** which are **at odds with the growth prospects of companies**. We believe this should allow us to make solid returns in the year ahead.

### Kerr Neilson

Managing Director

Platinum Asset Management

---

**Please visit our website at:**  
**[www.platinum.com.au](http://www.platinum.com.au)**

We have a section titled '**The Journal**', providing in-depth commentaries on stocks, views and insights, and the fundamentals of investing.

**Recent highlights include:**

- *Infusing Machines with Intelligence – a three-part discussion on the recent developments in Artificial Intelligence*
- ***December 2016 Market Update by Andrew Clifford, CIO***
  - *America Doesn't Need Trump to be Great Again*

Level 8, 7 Macquarie Place  
Sydney NSW 2000

GPO Box 2724  
Sydney NSW 2001

**TELEPHONE**

1300 726 700 or 02 9255 7500  
0800 700 726 (New Zealand only)

**FACSIMILE**

02 9254 5590

**EMAIL**

[invest@platinum.com.au](mailto:invest@platinum.com.au)

**WEBSITE**

[www.platinum.com.au/Our-Funds/Platinum-Global-Fund/](http://www.platinum.com.au/Our-Funds/Platinum-Global-Fund/)



## Notes

References to "Platinum" are references to Platinum Investment Management Limited.

1. The investment returns are calculated using the Fund's unit price and represent the combined income and capital return for the specified period. They are net of fees and costs (excluding the buy-sell spread), are pre-tax, and assume the reinvestment of distributions. The investment returns shown are historical and no warranty can be given for future performance. You should be aware that historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Due to the volatility in the underlying assets of the Fund and other risk factors associated with investing, investment returns can be negative (particularly in the short-term).

The Fund's inception date is 8 September 2014.

It should be noted that Platinum does not invest by reference to the weightings of the MSCI All Country World Net Index (A\$) (the "Index") or any other indices or benchmarks. Underlying assets are chosen through Platinum's individual stock selection process and, as a result, holdings will vary considerably to the make-up of the Index. Index information is provided as a reference only.

2. The regional exposures represent all physical holdings and long derivative positions (stock and index) as a percentage of net asset value as at 30 September 2016 and 31 December 2016.
3. The table shows the Fund's top ten long stock positions (including long derivative exposures) as a percentage of net asset value as at 31 December 2016.
4. The table shows the Fund's major currency exposures as a percentage of net asset value as at 30 September 2016 and 31 December 2016.

## Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by Platinum Investment Management Limited ABN 25 063 565 006 AFSL 221935 trading as Platinum Asset Management (Platinum®). Platinum is the responsible entity and issuer of units in the Platinum Global Fund® (the "Fund"). This publication contains general information only and is not intended to provide any person with financial advice. It does not take into account any person's (or class of persons') investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs, and should not be used as the basis for making investment, financial or other decisions.

This publication may contain forward-looking statements regarding our intent, belief or current expectations with respect to market conditions. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Platinum does not undertake any obligation to revise any such forward-looking statements to reflect events and circumstances after the date hereof.

You should read the entire Product Disclosure Statement for the Platinum Global Fund® together with the Additional Information Booklet thereto (together, the "PDS") and consider your particular investment objectives, financial situation and needs prior to making any investment decision to invest (or divest) in the Fund. You should also obtain professional advice prior to making an investment decision. You can obtain a copy of the current PDS from Platinum's website, [www.platinum.com.au](http://www.platinum.com.au) or by phoning 1300 726 700 (within Australia), 02 9255 7500 or 0800 700 726 (within New Zealand), or by emailing to [invest@platinum.com.au](mailto:invest@platinum.com.au).

No company or director in the Platinum Group® guarantees the performance of the Fund, the repayment of capital, or the payment of income. To the extent permitted by law, no liability is accepted by any company in the Platinum Group or their directors for any loss or damage as a result of any reliance on this information. The Platinum Group means Platinum Asset Management Limited ABN 13 050 064 287 and all of its subsidiaries and associated entities (including Platinum).

Some numerical figures in this publication have been subject to rounding adjustments.

© Platinum Asset Management 2016. All Rights Reserved.

## MSCI Inc Disclaimer

Neither MSCI Inc nor any other party involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating the Index data (contained in this Quarterly Report) makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such data (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and all such parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of such data. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI Inc, any of its affiliates or any third party involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating the data have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages. No further distribution or dissemination of the Index data is permitted without express written consent of MSCI Inc.