

MLC-Platinum Global Fund

QUARTERLY INVESTMENT MANAGER'S REPORT

Performance

Fund Size: \$574.2m	Last quarter	Last 12 months	5 years (compound pa)	Since inception (compound pa)
MLC-Platinum Global Fund	0.5%	6.0%	5.7%	9.9%
MSCI All Country World Net Index (A\$)	10.9%	29.5%	12.9%	8.3%

Fund returns are after fees and expenses and assume the reinvestment of distributions. Portfolio inception date: 30 June 1994.

Source: MLC Investments Limited and Platinum Investment Management Limited for Fund returns, and FactSet Research Systems for MSCI index returns.

Past performance is not indicative of future performance. The value of an investment may rise or fall with changes in the market.

Over the year the Fund returned 6% which represents a large opportunity cost from the 29% return posted by the AC World Index.

The driver of the index return is familiar. The US market (S&P500) again posted outsized gains (23+% in USD) thanks to its heavier weighting to technology stocks. The MSCI World IT index rose 32% over the year (in USD).

Returns in the rest of the world were more muted but still solid, with the MSCI AC world ex US rising 16%.

The reasons for underperformance in 2024

What explains our Fund's lower returns? Our Chinese holdings delivered solid performance over the year, returning over 15%. However, our much lower allocation to the US market and technology stocks was a big headwind. Stock selection also played a role. This year the pattern was that our larger winners were often accompanied by large detractors, holding back aggregate returns.

In our technology holdings, large gains in firms such as **TSMC** (+80%), **Broadcom** (around 90%) and **Tencent** (47%) were offset by 30% falls in **Samsung Electronics** and **Microchip**. We saw a similar situation in commercial services companies, with gains in **TransUnion** (+35%) and the **London Stock Exchange** (+22%), partially offset by the 24% fall in our top ten position **Allfunds**.

In our travel holdings good performance from India's **Interglobe** (+50%) and China's **Trip.com** (over 65%) were partially offset by a 32% fall in **Wizz Air**. In short our stock picking over the year resembled a barbell, where we picked decent winners, but some large losers as well.

The quarterly view

Moving onto the quarter, good contributors included Broadcom (up 30%). A key to the Broadcom investment case is the growth potential in their custom AI chip division which serves players like Google and Meta. At their quarterly result Broadcom said that planning discussions with their customers led them to believe revenue from this division could rise to circa \$40-50bn by 2027. (It was \$12bn in 2024).

Elsewhere, UK wealth advisor St James Place rose nearly 20% as fund flow and client retention beat expectations.

Our detractors were clustered in two areas, China and oil & gas. In line with the broader Chinese market, most of our holdings gave back half their September gains, as investors await more follow through on the government's pledges to support the economy. Our oil and gas names such as US companies **Valaris** (-20%) and **Schlumberger** (-11%) fell as investors questioned OPEC's next move, given the Trump administration's desire to dramatically increase US oil production.

Adding some NICE

In our March quarterly, we detailed how we viewed the AI investment opportunity and why most of our AI-themed investments were in the hardware/semiconductor manufacturers who will power these models. While we still hold those investments, we have been on the lookout for beneficiaries of AI in the **software** space.

A good example of this, and recent addition to the fund is Israeli company **NICE**. NICE provides cloud-based contact centre software to customers operating large/complex call

centre operations (think major insurance companies or a government service like Service NSW). This software is multifaceted – including the digital telephony and call routing, software that manages the call centre staff, CRM software and new AI modules used to handle call centre workloads.

Given NICE's focus on the complex end of the market, new customers go through a major integration process shifting their systems to a cloud offering. Once complete this can lead to very long customer lifecycles with little churn. This allows NICE to earn circa 20% EBIT margins, well ahead of many other SaaS vendors.

Cloud-based communications software is relatively early in its adoption cycle. Estimates suggest 35% of the industry has made the shift, with the early adopters concentrated in the SME sector, given the easier integration process. However, we are now seeing large enterprises shift from legacy on-premise systems. This could fuel NICE's growth for many years to come.

The other interesting aspect is NICE's ability to sell AI modules. The cost of call centre operations is largely labour (software is <10% of total costs) and staff turnover is high, so AI tools to divert workloads and assist in training create tangible savings for customers. When it comes to new software capability, distribution is often key and NICE is in an excellent position to tailor new AI functionality to call centre applications and sell it to their customer base. The company says there is rapid take-up of new AI modules and we expect this will allow NICE to increase its revenue per user.

Richemont – jewellery to shine?

Another new position initiated over the quarter is the luxury goods maker **Richemont**.

The opportunity in Richemont is a classic one – a high-quality company suppressed by an industry wide downturn. The luxury industry is in recession as the Covid boom has turned to bust. This started with a fall away in the US and European 'aspirational buyer', followed by a 30% fall in Chinese demand.

The appeal of Richemont versus other luxury companies sits in its two jewellery houses, Cartier and Van Cleef & Arpels. There has been a persistent trend towards branded jewellery. The luxury jewellery market was 15% branded in 2007. It sits at 30% today. The category is becoming less reliant on gifting and weddings and increasingly driven by self-purchases. Despite the growth, the luxury jewellery market remains far less crowded than other luxury categories, with Cartier and Van Cleef accounting for 40% of industry sales alone.

The other impressive aspect (and a good indicator of the health of the brands) is the performance of Richemont's jewellery houses through the downturn, where sales have continued to grow while most other luxury houses saw declines.

With Richemont trading on 18x (ex cash on balance sheet) and with both recent Swiss watch exports and US/EU credit card data pointing to luxury spend bottoming, adding Richemont to the portfolio made sense on both the short and long-term horizon.

Outlook

US economic data is still benign. Signs of stress amongst low income consumers has not produced any meaningful deterioration in labour markets. Simply put, the US economy continues to chug along in the higher rate environment.


While it is early days, the Chinese property market is now responding to the Government's policy measures. On a national basis, property sales stopped falling in October and were up 4.6% in November with property sales in the largest 21 cities up 20% YoY. If the stabilisation continues, it bodes well for consumer confidence and a broader consumption improvement.

In terms of valuations and sentiment, the US is the standout and investors are jubilant. The Trump administration is being given credit *in advance* for solving geopolitical problems, cutting taxes, reviving US manufacturing and imposing vast tariffs with little internal cost. More sectors have been pulled into the excitement, with a swathe of cyclical industrials whom used to trade on high teen multiples, now trading on high twenties/low thirties valuations.

Our goal is to find businesses with modest starting valuations where those valuations have the potential to be higher. We want to see a clear case for how profits will be higher in three years and reasons why investor sentiment around the company can improve. Both NICE and Richemont fit these criteria.

Avoiding the US/technology bandwagon has come at a large opportunity cost over the past two years but we feel now is the time to stay disciplined. In our portfolio we want to be rotating into companies where the extremes are already discounted whilst building positions in companies where earnings can be higher in three-years' time. If we do this, good returns can follow.

Disposition of Assets (Net Invested Positions) %[^]




■ 31 DEC 2024 ■ 30 SEP 2024

[^] The geographic disposition of assets (i.e. other than "cash") shows the Fund's exposures to the relevant countries/regions through its long securities positions and long securities/index derivative positions, as a percentage of its portfolio market value.

Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.

Net Sector Exposures %[^]



■ 31 DEC 2024 ■ 30 SEP 2024

[^] The table shows the Fund's net exposures to the relevant sectors through its long securities positions and long securities/index derivative positions, as a percentage of its portfolio market value. Index positions (whether through ETFs or derivatives) are only included under the relevant sector if they are sector specific, otherwise they are included under "Other". Numerical figures are subject to rounding adjustments.

Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.


Top 10 Holdings[^]

COMPANY	COUNTRY	INDUSTRY	WEIGHT
Alphabet Inc	US	Comm Services	4.6%
Tencent Holdings Ltd	China	CommServices	4.3%
TransUnion	US	Industrials	3.8%
ZTO Express Cayman Inc	China	Industrials	3.8%
Taiwan Semiconductor	Taiwan	Info Technology	3.6%
Samsung Electronics Co Ltd	South Korea	Info Technology	3.6%
UPM-Kymmene OYJ	Finland	Materials	3.6%
DSV A/S	Denmark	Industrials	3.4%
Allfunds Group Plc	UK	Financials	3.3%
UBS Group AG	Switzerland	Financials	3.0%

[^] As at 31 December 2024. The table shows the Fund's top ten positions as a percentage of its portfolio market value taking into account its long securities positions and long securities derivative positions. Numerical figures are subject to rounding adjustments.

Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.

Net Currency Exposures[^]



■ 31 DEC 2024 ■ 30 SEP 2024

[^] The table shows the Fund's net exposures to the relevant currencies through its long securities positions, cash at bank, cash payables and receivables, currency forwards and long securities/index derivative positions, as a percentage of its portfolio market value.

Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.

This document has been issued by MLC Investments Limited ABN 30 002 641 661, AFSL 230705. MLC Investments Limited is part of the Insignia Group of Companies, comprising Insignia Financial Ltd ABN 49 100 103 722 and its related bodies corporate (Insignia Financial Group). Fund and market commentary and Fund data is prepared by Platinum Investment Management Limited ABN 25 063 565 006, AFSL 221935 (trading as Platinum Asset Management), and is current as at 31 December 2024. It is provided as an information service without assuming a duty of care. This communication contains general information and may constitute general advice. Any advice in this communication has been prepared without taking account of individual objectives, financial situation or needs. It should not be relied upon as a substitute for financial or other specialist advice. MLC Investments Limited is the issuer of both the MLC-Platinum Global Fund (the Fund) and the MLC MasterKey Unit Trust. The offer of interests in the MLC-Platinum Global Fund and the MLC MasterKey Unit Trust are contained in the MLC MasterKey Unit Trust PDS. Copies of this PDS are available on mlc.com.au. The MLC-Platinum Global Fund was closed to new investors from 1 July 2005. Existing investors wishing to acquire further units should obtain a PDS and consider that document before making any decision about whether to acquire or continue to hold the product. The information is directed to and prepared for Australian residents only. Securities mentioned in this article may no longer be in the Fund. Past performance is not indicative of future performance. The value of an investment may rise or fall with the changes in the market. Please note that all return figures reported for the Fund are after management fees and before taxes unless otherwise stated. Other return figures are calculated before deducting fees. The Fund referred to herein is not sponsored, endorsed, or promoted by MSCI, and MSCI bears no liability with respect to any such fund. Any opinions expressed in this communication constitute Platinum Investment Management Limited's (Platinum's) judgement at 31 December 2024 and are subject to change. Platinum believes that the information contained in this communication is correct and that any estimates, opinions, conclusions or recommendations are reasonably held or made at the time of compilation. However, no warranty is made as to their accuracy or reliability (which may change without notice) or other information contained in this communication. MLC Investments Limited may use the services of Insignia Financial Group related companies where it makes good business sense to do so and will benefit customers. Amounts paid for these services are always negotiated on an arm's length basis.